Highlight of Charm Physics at BESII

Peilian Liu

Shandong University(SDU), Jinan

University of Chinese Academy of Sciences (UCAS), Beijing

(representing the BESIII collaboration)

Meson 2014, May 29-June 03, Cracow, Poland

Outline

- Introduction to BESIII
- BESIII data sets
- Open charm physics
- Summary

Beijing Electron Positron Collider-II (BEPCII)



The BEPCII Collider



Beam energy measurement: Using Compton backscattering technique. accuracy up to $5 \cdot 10^{-5}$

The BESIII detector



The new BESIII detector is hermetic for neutral and charged particle with excellent resolution, PID, and large coverage.



The BESIII Collaboration

Political Map of the World, June 1999



~350 members 52 institutions from 11 countries

IHEP, CCAST, GUCAS, Shandong Univ., Univ. of Sci. and Tech. of China Zhejiang Univ., Huangshan Coll. Huazhong Normal Univ., Wuhan Univ. Zhengzhou Univ., Henan Normal Univ. Peking Univ., Tsinghua Univ. , Zhongshan Univ., Nankai Univ., Beihang Univ. Shanxi Univ., Sichuan Univ., Univ. of South China Hunan Univ., Liaoning Univ. Nanjing Univ., Nanjing Normal Univ. Guangxi Normal Univ., Guangxi Univ. Suzhou Univ., Hangzhou Normal Univ.

Lanzhou Univ., Henan Sci. and Tech. Univ.



Data samples at BESIII

	Previous data	BESIII now	Goal
<i>J/ψ</i>	BESII: 58 M	1.2 B 20*BESII	10 B
ψ(3686)	CLEO: 28 M	0.5.B.20*CLEQ	3 B
ψ(3770)	CLEO: 0.8 /fb	2.9 /fb 3.5*CLEO	20 /fb
Above open charm threshold	CLEO: 0.6/fb @4160MeV	2011: 0.5 /fb @ 4.009 GeV 2013: 1.9 /fb @ 4.26 GeV, 0.5 /fb @ 4.36 GeV 2014: 0.6 /fb @ 4.6 GeV 1.0 /fb @ 4.42 GeV and data for line shape	5-10 /fb
R Scan	BESII	2012: R @2.23,2.4,2.8,3.4GeV 25/pb tau threshold 2014: 0.8 /fb @ 3.85—4.59 GeV	

- world's largest samples of on-threshold $\psi(3770)$ data and keep increasing in the future
- the aim is to have 20 /fb data



D physics @ ψ(3770)

At $\psi(3770)$ peak : $\sigma(e^+e^-\rightarrow DDbar) \approx 6.6$ nb Only D pairs: no phase space for even one extra pion

Reconstruction one D in a set of hadronic "tag" modes: Reduce backgrounds, Find the other D's direction: produce a "tagged D beam" → can be used to reconstruct a neutrino 4-vector

Tag variables: conservation of momentum and energy:

$$M_{bc} = \sqrt{E_{beam}^2 - p_{cand}^2}$$

$$\Delta E = E_{cand} - E_{beam}$$

Absolute BR measurement: Tag-side efficiency mostly cancels; tag systematics cancel.

$$BR = \frac{N_{tag+signal} \varepsilon_{tag}}{N_{tag} \varepsilon_{tag+signal}} \approx \frac{N_{tag+signal}}{N_{tag} \varepsilon_{signal}}$$



Flavor Physics Connection

Tests of Lattice QCD and SMLeptonic : decay constant f_D indirectly access $|V_{td}|$, via x_B and f_D/f_B Semi-leptonic : form factors $f(q^2)$ directly access to $|V_{cs/d}|$

Strong Phases

Accessible due to quantum-correlations Affect CKM gamma/ ϕ_3 extraction

D⁰ D⁺ D_s⁺ golden mode BF

Normalize heavy flavor physics Systematics limited after CLEO-c; lower priority to check...



 $D^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu$

PRD89, 051104(R) (2014) BESIII: 2.9 fb⁻¹



Uses 9 tag modes

Signal side: ONE track !

Veto on extra tracks, and un-matched showers with E > 300 MeV

Reconstruct "MM²" = (missing-mass)² presumably just a neutrino: signal peaks at 0



Result:

 $377.3 \pm 20.6 \pm 2.6$ events above background B(D⁺ $\rightarrow \mu v$) = ($3.71 \pm 0.19 \pm 0.06$) x 10^{-4}

Combining with V_{cd} , G_F , τ_D , m_D :

 $f_D = 203.2 \pm 2.6\%$ (stat.) $\pm 0.9\%$ (sys.) MeV

most precise !

Previous best : CLEO-c: $205.8 \pm 4.1\%$ (stat.) $\pm 1.2\%$ (sys.) MeV





$D^0 \rightarrow Kev, \pi ev$

BESIII: 0.9 fb⁻¹

- Points: data with stat. error only
- Curves: from Fermilab-MILC within one stat. error, preliminary, arXiv:1111.5471 (XXIX International Symposium on Lattice Field Theory);
- Other theoretical work: HPQCD, arXiv:1111.0225
- Comparing shape only here (f₊(0) not known)





 $D^0 \rightarrow Kev, \pi ev$

BESIII: 0.9 fb⁻¹

BESIII preliminary

mode	Measurement(%)	PDG value $(\%)$	CLEO-c value (%)
$\overline{D}^0 \to K^+ e^- \overline{\nu}$	$3.542 \pm 0.030 \pm 0.067$	$3.55 {\pm} 0.04$	$3.50 {\pm} 0.03 {\pm} 0.04$
$\overline{D}^0 \to \pi^+ e^- \overline{\nu}$	$0.288 {\pm} 0.008 {\pm} 0.005$	$0.289{\pm}0.008$	$0.288{\pm}0.008{\pm}0.003$

BESIII preliminary

Simple Pole	$f_+(0) V_{cd(s)} $	m_{pole}	
$\overline{D}^0 \to K^+ e^- \nu$	$0.729 {\pm} 0.005 {\pm} 0.007$	$1.943{\pm}0.025{\pm}0.003$	
$\overline{D}^0 \to \pi^+ e^- \nu$	$0.142{\pm}0.003{\pm}0.001$	$1.876 {\pm} 0.023 {\pm} 0.004$	
Modified Pole	$f_+(0) V_{cd(s)} $	α	
$\overline{D}^0 \to K^+ e^- \nu$	$0.725 {\pm} 0.006 {\pm} 0.007$	$0.265{\pm}0.045{\pm}0.006$	
$\overline{D}^0 \to \pi^+ e^- \nu$	$0.140 {\pm} 0.003 {\pm} 0.002$	$0.315{\pm}0.071{\pm}0.012$	
2 par. series	$f_+(0) V_{cd(s)} $	r_1	
$\overline{D}^0 \to K^+ e^- \nu$	$0.726{\pm}0.006{\pm}0.007$	$-2.034{\pm}0.196{\pm}0.022$	
$\overline{D}^0 \to \pi^+ e^- \nu$	$0.140{\pm}0.004{\pm}0.002$	$-2.117{\pm}0.163{\pm}0.027$	
3 par. series	$f_+(0) V_{cd(s)} $	r_1	r_2
$\overline{D}^0 \to K^+ e^- \nu$	$0.729 {\pm} 0.008 {\pm} 0.007$	$-2.179 {\pm} 0.355 {\pm} 0.053$	$4.539 \pm 8.927 \pm 1.103$
$\overline{D}^0 \to \pi^+ e^- \nu$	$0.144{\pm}0.005{\pm}0.002$	$-2.728 {\pm} 0.482 {\pm} 0.076$	$4.194 \pm 3.122 \pm 0.448$



Strong phase $\delta_{K\pi}$

arXiv:1404.4691 Accepted by PLB BESIII: 2.9 fb⁻¹

Strong phase:

$$\frac{\left\langle \boldsymbol{K}^{-}\boldsymbol{\pi}^{+} \middle| \boldsymbol{D}^{0} \right\rangle}{\left\langle \boldsymbol{K}^{-}\boldsymbol{\pi}^{+} \middle| \boldsymbol{D}^{0} \right\rangle^{CF}} \equiv -\boldsymbol{r}_{K\pi} e^{-i\delta_{K\pi}}$$

DCS

Quantum correlation \rightarrow Interference \rightarrow access strong phase!

• Measuring $\delta_{K\pi}$ from rate differences if using external $r_{K\pi}$

- Reconstructed modes:
 - ✦ Flavor tags: K⁻π⁺, K⁺π⁻
 - + CP+ tags (5 modes): K^-K^+ , $\pi^+\pi^-$, $K_S^0\pi^0\pi^0$, $\pi^0\pi^0$, $\rho^0\pi^0$
 - ♦ CP- tags (3 modes): K⁰_Sπ⁰, K⁰_Sη, K⁰_Sω



Strong phase $\delta_{\text{K}\pi}$

arXiv:1404.4691 Accepted by PLB BESIII: 2.9 fb⁻¹

Signal reconstruction:

- Single Tag (ST): CP tags
- Double Tag (DT) : Kπ + CP Tag
- Kinematic variable: Beam Constrained Mass (M_{BC})
- ◆ Singal shape: σ⊗MC-truth
- Background shape: ARGUS function

$$\bullet Br(D_{CP\pm} \to K\pi) = \frac{n_{K\pi,CP\pm}}{n_{CP\pm}} \cdot \frac{\varepsilon_{CP\pm}}{\varepsilon_{K\pi,CP\pm}}$$

- *n_{Kπ,CP±}* and *n_{CP±}* are event yields for DT and ST from M_{BC} fit
- $\varepsilon_{K\pi,CP\pm}$ and $\varepsilon_{CP\pm}$ are detection efficiencies of DT and ST from MC simulation
- Most systematics cancelled for ratio $\varepsilon_{CP\pm}/ \varepsilon_{K\pi,CP\pm}$

BESIII results:

 $\mathcal{A}_{CP \to K\pi} = (12.7 \pm 1.3 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-2}$







Strong phase $\delta_{K\pi}$

arXiv:1404.4691 Accepted by PLB BESIII: 2.9 fb⁻¹

BESIII results:

 $\cos \delta_{K\pi} = 1.02 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.01$

- Uncertainty is dominated by the statistical error
- The third error is due to the input parameters
- World best precision

Other Quantum Correlation work Coherence factors: feed into CKM γ/ϕ_3 with B's $K_S \pi^+ \pi^$ most advanced: binned analysis ("CLEO-style") $\left. \begin{array}{c} \mathrm{K}^{-}\,\pi^{+}\,\pi^{0} \ \pi^{+}\,\pi^{-}\,\pi^{0} \end{array} \right\}$ likely to pursue both model-ind't analyses, and also detailed Dalitz analyses + other modes e.g., $K^-\pi^+\pi^-$ & $K_SK^+\pi^-$ have been done by CLEO-c)

Mixing analyes: statistics-limited at $\psi(3770)$

Luminosity x cross-section much higher @ B factories, LHCb But... We do have a y_{CP} analysis in the works

c_i , s_i for γ/ϕ_3 measurements

- Partition the Dalitz plot to 2k bins 0.5 B Signal yields in ith Dalitz bin extracted from fit ements from from flav.-tagged to the B^{\pm} yields **Charm factories** $s_{12} \equiv m_{K_c \pi^-}^2, s_{13} \equiv m_{K_c \pi^+}^2$ $B^{\pm} \rightarrow DK^{\pm}$ vields $D \rightarrow K_{S}\pi\pi$ $x_{\pm} = cos(\delta_B \pm \gamma)$ $(N_i^{\pm}) = h_B [K_{\pm i} + r_b^2 K_{\mp i} + 2\sqrt{K_i K_{-i}} (x_{\pm c_i} \pm y_{\pm s_i})] \begin{vmatrix} x_{\pm} = \cos(\sigma_B \pm \gamma) \\ y_{\pm} = \sin(\delta_B \pm \gamma) \end{vmatrix}$ • Averaged phases in each bin: c_i, s_i (Giri et. al. PRD68, 054018 (2003)) $c_i = \int dp A_{12,13} A_{13,12} \cos(\delta_{12,13} - \delta_{13,12})$ $A_D(s_{12}, s_{13}) \equiv A_{12,13} e^{i\delta_{12,13}} \equiv A(D^0 \to K_s^0(p_1)\pi^-(p_2)\pi^+(p_3))$ $= A(\overline{D^0} \to K^0_s(p_1)\pi^+(p_2)\pi^-(p_3))$ $s_i = \int dp A_{12,13} A_{13,12} \sin(\delta_{12,13} - \delta_{13,12})$ c_i, s_i : weighted average of $\cos(\Delta \delta_D)$ and $\sin(\Delta \delta_D)$ respectively where $\Delta \delta_D$ $T_i \equiv \int dp A_{12,13}^2$ is the difference between phase of 19 D^0 and $\overline{D}{}^0$
- GGSZ (Dalitz) method in $B \rightarrow D^0 K$
 - Most powerful method nowadays
 - D^0 to 3-body decays $K_8\pi^+\pi^-$, $K_8K^+K^-$,...



Model independent approach

Modified optimal binning: 8 bins CLEO-c: 0.818 fb⁻¹

uncertainty on γ reduced to 1.7° to 3.9° BESIII: 2.9fb⁻¹

Uncertainty on γ reduced to 0.9° to 2.0° depend on the binning methods.





- Still statistical limited. Only statistical errors are listed.
- Consistent agreement with CLEO-c measurements, but superior in statistical errors



Summary

BESIII has started to be involved in the world campaign on identifying the *DD* oscillation, searching for the *CPV* in charm and over-constraining the CKM unitarity triangles.

- We provide unique data on strong phases.
- Many more QC analyses are undergoing
- Purely and semi leptonic decays were studied
 - test LQCD
 - make precise measurements of CKM elements, to improve the accuracy of CKM unitarity tests.



Backup

Here S y_{CP}: CP tagged semileptonic D decays

We measure the y_{CP} using CP-tagged semi-leptonic D decays allow to access CP asymmetry in mixing



For D decay to CP eigenstates:

$$R_{CP^{\pm}} \propto |A_{CP^{\pm}}|^{2} (1 \mp y_{CP})$$
$$y_{CP} = \frac{1}{2} [y \cos\phi(|\frac{q}{p}| + |\frac{p}{q}|) - x \sin\phi(|\frac{q}{p}| - |\frac{p}{q}|)]$$

For CP tagged semileptonic D decays:

$$R_{l,CP^{\pm}} \propto |A_l|^2 |A_{CP^{\pm}}|^2$$
$$y_{CP} \approx \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{R_{l;CP+}R_{CP-}}{R_{l;CP-}R_{CP+}} - \frac{R_{l;CP-}R_{CP+}}{R_{l;CP+}R_{CP-}} \right)$$

Modes	N_{tag}	$N_{tag,Ke\nu}$	$N_{tag,K\mu\nu}$
K^+K^-	54307 ± 252	1216 ± 40	1093 ± 37
$\pi^+\pi^-$	$19996 \pm 177_{\bullet}$	427 ± 23	400 ± 23
$K^0_S \pi^0 \pi^0$	24369 ± 231	560 ± 28	558 ± 28
$K_S^0 \pi^0$	71419 ± 286	1699 ± 47	1475 ± 43
$K^0_S \omega$	21249 ± 157	473 ± 25	501 ± 26
$K^0_S\eta$	9843 ± 117	242 ± 17	$237 \pm \ 18$

 $y_{CP} = -1.6\% \pm 1.3\%(\text{stat.}) \pm 0.6\%(\text{syst.})$ CLEOC [PRD 86 (2012) 112001]: $y_{CP} = (4.2 \pm 2.0 \pm 1.0)\%$



DP model independent approach

- > Initially proposed for γ measurement in B⁺ \rightarrow DK⁺
- > Divide DP into symmetrical bins:

$$\begin{split} c_i &\equiv \int_i dp \; A_{12,13} \; A_{13,12} \; \cos(\delta_{12,13} - \delta_{13,12}), \\ s_i &\equiv \int_i dp \; A_{12,13} \; A_{13,12} \sin(\delta_{12,13} - \delta_{13,12}), \\ T_i &\equiv \int_i dp \; A_{12,13}^2, \qquad \qquad \begin{array}{l} A_{1j,1k} &\equiv A(s_{1j}, s_{1k}) \\ \delta_{1j,1k} &\equiv \delta(s_{1j}, s_{1k}) \end{array} \end{split}$$



For mirror bins, *i* and \overline{i} : $c_i = c_{\overline{i}}, s_i = -s_{\overline{i}}$.

With mixing, the number of events in bin i at time t is:

$$T'_i(t) \propto e^{-\Gamma t} [T_i + \sqrt{T_i T_{\overline{i}}} (c_i y_D + s_i x_D) \Gamma t + \mathcal{O}((x_D^2 + y_D^2) (\Gamma t)^2)]$$

[Bondar et al, PRD82, 034033 (2010)]

One can fit all bins simultaneously to extract (x_D, y_D), if s_i, c_i are:
Known

D oscillation and strong phase

- [□] short distance is highly suppressed by the GIM mechanism and by the CKM matrix elements within $x \sim O(10^{-5}), y \sim O(10^{-7})$
 - NP might manifest in the loop, such as FCNC processes with up-type quark, complementary to those with down quarks (K or B mesons, already studied with observed CPV)
- I long distance is dominant: $x, y \sim \mathcal{O}(10^{-3})$
 - but theoretical uncertainty is large
- **Observation of DD oscillation by CDF and LHCb**

$$y' \equiv y \cos \delta_{K\pi} - x \sin \delta_{K\pi}$$

 $x' \equiv x \cos \delta_{K\pi} + y \sin \delta_{K\pi}$



- Improving the constraints on the charm mixing parameters is important for testing the SM, such as long-distance effect
- **In addition, strong phase is an important ingredient for**
 - (over-)constraining the CKM unitarity triangle, which is crucial for searching for new physics
 - extract the mixing parameter (x,y) from (x', y')

The decay rate of a correlated state

For a physical process producing \overline{D}^0 D⁰ such

e

The D⁰ D⁰ pair will be a quantum-correlated state.

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi^{"} \rightarrow D^0\overline{D}^0$

The quantum number of U'' is $J^{PC} = 1^{--}$

The C number of $D^{\overline{0}} D^{0}$ pair in this process is

Taking advantage the quantum coherence of D<u>D</u> pairs, BESIII can study the charm physics in an unique way

- strong phase in D decays
- D mixing parameters
- direct CP violation

as

Strong phase δ and γ/ϕ_3 in the CKM unitarity triangle

- A hadronic parameters for a final state *f*: $\frac{A(\overline{D}^0 → f)}{A(D^0 → f)} ≡ -r_D e^{-i\delta_D}$
- Charm mixing parameters: $x = \frac{\Delta M}{\Gamma}$, $y = \frac{\Delta \Gamma}{2\Gamma}$
 - + Time-dependent WS $D^0 \rightarrow K^+ \pi^- \text{rate} \Rightarrow$ $y' = y \cos \delta_{K\pi} - x \sin \delta_{K\pi} = (4.8 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-3} (\text{LHCb2013})$
 - + $\delta_{K\pi}$: QC measurements from Charm factory
- γ/ϕ_3 measurements from $B \rightarrow D^{\theta} K$
 - + $b \rightarrow u : \gamma/\phi_3 = argV_{ub}^*$
 - * most sensitive method to constrain γ/ϕ_3 at present
 - GLW method (Gronau & London, PLB253, 483 (1991); Gronau & Wyler, PLB265, 172 (1991))
 - ADS method (Atwood, Dunetz & Soni, PRL78, 3257 (1997); PRD63, 036005 (2001))
 - + GGSZ (Dalitz) method (Giri, Grossman, Soffer & Zupan, PRD68, 054018 (2003))
- GLW and ADS methods in $B \rightarrow D^{\theta} K$
 - ◆ D⁰ to doubly Cabibbo suppressed decays K⁺π⁻, K⁺π⁻π⁰
 - Decay rates:

$$\Gamma\left(B^{\pm} \rightarrow (f)_{D} K^{\pm}\right) \propto r_{B}^{2} + r_{D}^{2} + 2r_{B}r_{D}\cos\left(\delta_{B} + \delta_{D} \pm \phi_{3}\right)$$

- + r_D , δ_D : QC measurements from Charm factory
- + (r_B , δ_B , ϕ_3) 3 unknowns, 4 measurements \Box

e^+e^- for τ -charm: physics goals



 ✓ Hadron spectroscopy and test of QCD at low energy: Light meson and baryon Glueball: direct test of QCD at low energy Hybrid/exotics states/multiquark states/molecular states...
 Charmonium(-like) spectroscopy and decays / Charmed baryon decays

✓ Precise test of the Standard Model:

R values, tau mass and tau decays, CKM matrix, lepton universality test... Decay constants and form factors (in D meson decays)

 New physics searches at low energy (tiny/forbidden in SM): Rare charmonium decays: weak decays, LFV, LNV, BNV ... Rare charm and tau decays: FCNC, LFV, LNV, invisible decays Rare light meson decays: η/η'/ω/φ rare decays Neutral D mixing CP violation in tau and charm: tiny in SM CP violation in baryon /charmed baryon weak decays

✓ Exotic physics:

Light dark matter candidates, Dark photon, light Higgs boson(a₀), New interactions...

BESIII is statistical limited

Comparison with world measurement



D⁰ \rightarrow **K**/ π ⁻**e**⁺**v** and form factor **f**⁺_{K/ π}(**q**²)



> The strong interaction effect between the two quarks within the final state meson is simply factorized into the form factor $f^+_{K/\pi}(q^2)$.

> $|V_{cs/d}|$ parameterizes the mixing between the quark mass eigenstates and the two weak eigenstates.

 $f^{+}_{K/\pi}(q^2)$ and $|V_{cs/d}|$ from D semileptonic decay

- > In experiment, studies of $D^0 \rightarrow K/\pi^-e^+v$ can provide
 - form factors of hadronic current $f^+_{K/\pi}(q^2)$
 - Single pole form Modified pole model
 - ISGW2 model Series expansion model
 - CKM matrix elements $|V_{cs}|$ and $|V_{cd}|$

> The improved $f^+_{K/\pi}(q^2)$ can be used to validate the LQCD calculations on $f^+_{K/\pi}(q^2)$

> More accurate measurements of $|V_{cs}|$ and $|V_{cd}|$ can more precisely test the SM.



*F*_{D+}: All strong interaction effects between the two initial-state quarks are absorbed into this decay constant
 ➤ To validate LQCD calculations of *f*_{D+}

 \succ To produce a precise prediction of f_{B+}

→ In current LQCD calculations, the ratio f_{D+}/f_{B+} has a significantly better precision than their individual values

≻To determine |V_{td}|

The well-measured $B^0 - \overline{B^0}$ mixing parameter x_B is related to $|V_{td}|^2$ and $f_B(f_B = f_{B^0} = f_{B^+}$ due to isospin symmetry)

>To test the unitarity of CKM matrix, and test the SM

$|V_{cd}|$ measured via $D^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ v$

> In history, $|V_{cd}|$ was usually measured by

- **D** meson decay $D \rightarrow \pi e^+ v$, which suffers 11% uncertainty of theoretical calculation of form factor;
- neutrino and anti-neutrino interaction, which suffers 4.8% uncertainty.

→ A recent un-quenched LQCD of f_{D+} reaches ~2% precision, thus provides an opportunity to accurately measure $|V_{cd}|$ by $D^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ v$.

> More accurate measurements of $|V_{cd}|$ and $|V_{td}|$ will improve the stringency of unitarity constraints on CKM matrix and provide improved test on the Standard Model.



Only c_i , s_i from $K_s \pi^+ \pi^-$ is used to calculate γ . However adding in $D^0 \rightarrow K_1 \pi^+ \pi^-$ we can calculate c'_i , s'_i and use how

they relate to c_i , s_i to further constrain our results in a Global fit.

Calculation of c_i, c'_i, s_i, s'_i

From the CP tag modes, we are able to find c_i and c'_i

$$M_{i} = \frac{S_{\pm}}{2S_{f}} (K_{i} \pm 2c_{i}\sqrt{K_{i}K_{\overline{\imath}}} + K_{\overline{\imath}}) \quad (CP, K_{S}^{0}\pi^{+}\pi^{-})$$
$$M_{i}' = \frac{S_{\pm}}{2S_{f}} (K_{i}' \mp 2c_{i}'\sqrt{K_{i}'K_{\overline{\imath}}'} + K_{\overline{\imath}}') \quad (CP, K_{L}^{0}\pi^{+}\pi^{-})$$

' indicates numbers from K, π⁺π⁻ decays

 M_{ℓ} yields in each bin of Dalitz plot for CP even(odd) modes. $S_{+}(S_{-})$, number of single tags for CP even(odd) modes. $K_{\ell}(K_{\ell})$, yields in each bin of Dalitz plot in flavor modes.

From the Double Dalitz modes, we are able to find c_i, c'_i, s_i, s'_i

$M_{i,j} = \frac{N_{D,\overline{D}}}{2S_f^2} (K_i K_{\overline{j}} + K_{\overline{\imath}} K_j - 2\sqrt{K_i K_{\overline{j}}})$	$\overline{K_{\overline{i}}K_{j}}(c_{i}c_{j}+s_{i}s_{j}))$	$(K^0_S \pi^+ \pi^-, K^0_S \pi^+ \pi^-)$
$M_{i,j}' = \frac{N_{D,\overline{D}}}{2S_f} (K_i K_{\overline{j}}' + K_{\overline{\imath}} K_j' + 2\sqrt{K_i K_{\overline{j}}'}$	$\overline{K_{\overline{\imath}}K_{j}'}(c_{i}c_{j}'+s_{i}s_{j}'))$	$(K^0_S \pi^+ \pi^-, K^0_L \pi^+ \pi^-)$
	$M_{i,j}$ yields in each i th bin of the first Dalitz plot and the j th bin for the second Dalitz plot. S_f , number of single tags for flavor modes. $K_l(K_{\overline{i}})$, yields in each bin of Dalitz plot in flavor modes.	

Running: Now & Later

So far this run (2014) :

About 100 points for R values "scan": 3.85-4.59 GeV, 5 or 10 MeV steps, ~6 – 8 pb⁻¹ per point 500 pb⁻¹@ 4.60 GeV for XYZ states;

Future runs (no particular order)

- Ds data @ 4.170 GeV
- > more ψ (3770) for D physics
- More "XYZ", J/ψ, ψ'

Easy to fill MANY years !



$c_i, s_i \text{ in } D^0 \rightarrow K_{s, L} \pi^+ \pi^-$ Dalitz analysis



However adding in $D^0 \rightarrow K_L \pi^+ \pi^-$ we can calculate c'_i, s'_i and use how they relate to c_i, s_i to further constrain our results in a Global fit.