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## Observables and kinematic ranges



- $W^{2} \gg|t|, Q^{2} \gg \Lambda_{Q C D}^{2}$, the Bjorken $x \sim \frac{Q^{2}}{W^{2}+Q^{2}}$
- Spin density matrix elements (SDME) linked to the helicity amplitudes :
(Schilling Wolf, '73) \& (Dielh, '07)
- small $x$ HERA (H1 and ZEUS)
- mid-x region: COMPASS, HERMES, E665, NMC
- valence region: CLAS


## Theoretical descriptions



Interaction via gluons exchange

Convenient to introduce saturation effects at small-x

Collinear fact. picture


Interaction via gluon and quark exchange

Valid from small- $x$ to the valence region

## Dipole model picture

## Color dipole factorization scheme

- Impact parameter space representation of the amplitudes in the infinite momentum frame

```
Nikolaev, Zakharov, '91, Mueller, '90
```



- Initial $\Psi_{i}$ and final $\Psi_{f}$ states wave functions.
- Universal dipole/target scattering amplitude $\mathcal{N}(x, \underline{r}, \underline{b})$ : (DIS structure functions, diffractive DIS, exclusive processes ...)


## Dipole model picture

- Skewness effects can be taken into account in dipole cross-section model (Shuvaev, Golec-Biernat, Martin, Ryskin, '99)

$$
\mathcal{N}(x, \underline{r}) \equiv \mathcal{N}(x, \xi, \underline{r}) \quad \text { such that } \mathcal{N}(x, \xi=0, \underline{r})=s \hat{\sigma}(x, \underline{r})
$$

- Dipole models :
- access to $\operatorname{Im} \mathcal{M}_{V}^{g}$
- $\operatorname{Re} \mathcal{M}_{V}^{g}$ can be deduced from $\operatorname{Im} \mathcal{M}_{V}^{g}$ using dispersion relations
- In the limit $\underline{\Delta}=0$, i.e. $|t|=|t|_{\text {min }}$,

$$
\operatorname{Im}_{\mathcal{M}_{\lambda_{V} \lambda_{\gamma}}}\left(Q^{2}, x\right) \propto i \int d y \int d \underline{\tilde{\Psi}_{\lambda_{V}}^{*}}(y, \underline{r}) \hat{\Psi}_{\lambda_{\gamma}}(y, \underline{r}) \mathcal{N}(x, \xi, \underline{r})
$$

## DVMP within Collinear factorization

Description of exclusive processes within Collinear factorization approach

- Description of DVMP, DVCS, TCS, ... in the Bjorken limit
- Collinear factorization proven for LT amplitude $\mathcal{M}_{V,\{0+; 0+\}}$ (Collins, Frankfurt, Strikman, '97, Radyushkin, '97)
- Set of GPDs, $H(x, \xi, t), E(x, \xi, t), \tilde{H}(x, \xi, t), \tilde{E}(x, \xi, t)$
- Quark and Gluon contributions:



## Modified perturbative approach (MPA)

Gluon contribution in MPA

$$
\mathcal{M}_{V}^{g}=\int d x \int d y \int \frac{d^{2} \ell}{(2 \pi)^{2}} \underbrace{\ell_{q}=y p_{1}+\ell_{\perp}+\frac{\ell^{2}}{2 s y} p_{2}}_{\rightarrow \xi}
$$

- Neglect then $\frac{\ell_{1}^{2}}{y \tilde{y} Q^{2}}$ terms in numerator in the MPA spirit
- Fourier transform in transverse space $\rightarrow$ impact parameter space
- Sudakov form factor (Sterman, Li ;92) (Resums soft gluon emmisions from the quark-antiquark dipole)


## DVMP within MPA

## Model dependences

- Models from (Kroll, Goloskokov, '08) :
- GPDs with evolution approximated by the DGLAP evolution
- Wavefunction models (Gaussian ansatz)

$$
\hat{\Psi}_{V}(y, \underline{r}) \propto \text { Leading twist DA } \times \exp \left(-\frac{\underline{r}^{2}}{4 a_{V}^{2}} y \bar{y}\right)
$$

- Sudakov form factor (Dahm, Jakob, Kroll, '95)
- Kroll\&Goloskokov GPD model based on double distribution ansatz ( Musatov, Radyushkin, '00)

$$
H(x, \xi)=\int_{-1}^{1} d \beta \int_{-1+|\beta|}^{1-|\beta|} d \alpha \delta(\beta+\xi \alpha-x) f\left(\beta, \alpha, t^{\prime}\right)
$$

## MPA results

- MPA result for the helicity amplitude $\gamma_{L}^{*} N(p) \rightarrow V_{L} N\left(p^{\prime}\right)$ (A.B. in preparation):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{M}_{V,\{0+, 0+\}}=\frac{s}{2 \sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{0}^{1} d y \int d^{2} \underline{r} \sum_{f} C_{V}^{f}\left(\hat{\Psi}_{V}(y,-\underline{r}) \hat{\Psi}_{\gamma_{L}^{*}}^{f}(y, \underline{r})\right) \\
& \times\left(\frac{\pi \alpha_{s}\left(\mu^{2}\right)}{N_{c}}\left(\frac{4}{y \bar{y}(2 \xi s)}\right)\left\{\int_{0}^{1} d x \frac{2 \xi H^{g}(x, \xi, t)+2 x C_{F} \xi H_{\text {singlet }}^{f}(x, \xi, t)}{(x-\xi+i \epsilon)(x+\xi-i \epsilon)}\right\}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Dipole model vs MPA results

Results in the two different approaches in the limit $t \sim 0$

- MPA result for the helicity amplitude $\gamma_{L}^{*} N(p) \rightarrow V_{L} N\left(p^{\prime}\right)$ (A.B. in preparation):

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Im} \mathcal{M}_{V,\{0+, 0+\}}^{g} & =\frac{s}{2 \sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{0}^{1} d y \int d^{2} \underline{r} \sum_{f} C_{V}^{f}\left(\hat{\Psi}_{V}(y,-\underline{r}) \hat{\Psi}_{\gamma_{L}^{*}}^{f}(y, \underline{r})\right) \\
& \times\left(-\frac{\pi^{2}}{N_{c}} \frac{4}{y \bar{y} Q^{2}} \alpha_{s} H^{g}(\xi, \xi, 0)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Dipole model result for the helicity amplitude $\gamma_{L}^{*} N(p) \rightarrow V_{L} N\left(p^{\prime}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I} m \mathcal{M}_{V,\{0+, 0+\}}^{g} & =\frac{s}{2 \sqrt{2 \pi}} \int d y \int d^{2} \underline{r} \sum_{f} C_{V}^{f}\left(\hat{\Psi}_{V}(y,-\underline{r}) \hat{\Psi}_{\gamma_{L}^{*}}^{f}(y, \underline{r})\right) \\
& \times\left(-\frac{\mathcal{N}(x, \xi, \underline{r})}{s}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- At small- $x: 2 \xi s \approx Q^{2}$


## Analogy between the results

## Interpretation

- Forward dipole cross-section (Frankfurt, Radyushkin, Strikman, '97) :

$$
\frac{\mathcal{N}(x, 0, \underline{r})}{s}=\hat{\sigma}(x, \underline{r})=\frac{\pi^{2} \alpha_{s}}{N_{c}} \underline{r}^{2} x g(x) \quad \text { (color transparency) }
$$

- Comparing the results for DVMP, (Forward limit of gluon GPD : $H^{g}(x, \xi \rightarrow 0,0)=x g(x)$ ):

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\mathcal{N}(x, \xi, \underline{r})}{s} & \leftrightarrow \frac{\pi^{2} \alpha_{s}}{N_{c}}\left(\frac{4}{y \bar{y} Q^{2}}\right) H^{g}(\xi, \xi, 0)=\frac{\pi^{2} \alpha_{S}}{N_{c}}\left(\underline{r}_{0}^{2}\right) H^{g}(\xi, \xi, 0) \\
& \text { with } \underline{r}_{0}^{2}=\frac{4}{y \bar{y} Q^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

- $\sqrt{\left\langle r_{0}^{2}\right\rangle} \geq 2 R_{0}(x)$ (Saturation radius) for $Q^{2} \sim 5 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$ for $W=75 \mathrm{GeV}$


## Comparison of predictions



- Leading twist result from collinear factorization (Blue)
- Leading twist DA + dipole cross-section with saturation (Red)


## Beyond the imaginary part of gluon contribution in MPA

Contribution from gluons and quarks


$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\sum_{f} e_{f} C_{V}^{f} \mathcal{N}(x, \underline{r}) \longleftrightarrow \sum_{f} e_{f} C_{V}^{f} \frac{\pi \alpha_{s}\left(\mu^{2}\right)}{N_{c}}\left(\frac{4}{y \bar{y} Q^{2}}\right) \\
& \quad \times\left\{\int_{0}^{1} d x \frac{2 \xi H^{g}(x, \xi, t)+2 x C_{F} \xi H_{\text {singlet }}^{f}(x, \xi, t)}{(x-\xi+i \epsilon)(x+\xi-i \epsilon)}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Contributions of other amplitudes



- Sea quark contribution (via interference term) not negligeable in MPA approach with GK GPDs based on (CTEQ6M, '02) fits ( $10^{-4}<x<0.5$ and $4<Q^{2}<40 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$ )


## Results

Comparison Gluon vs Total contributions


## Results

Sudakov + meson wavefunction ansatz


## Dipole model from GPDs?

## Contribution from gluons and quarks

- At small-x: Glauber-Mueller dipole model :

$$
\hat{\sigma}(x, \underline{r})=\frac{\pi^{2} \alpha_{s}}{N_{c}} \underline{r}^{2} x g(x) \rightarrow \sigma_{0}\left(1-e^{-\frac{\pi^{2} \alpha_{s}}{N_{c} \sigma_{0}} \underline{r}^{2} x g(x)}\right)
$$

- For exclusive process, (Martin, Ryskin, Teubner '99) skewness effect $\Rightarrow R_{g}$ :

$$
\hat{\sigma}(x, \underline{r})=\sigma_{0}\left(1-\exp \left\{-\frac{\pi^{2} \alpha_{s}}{N_{c} \sigma_{0}} \underline{r}^{2} R_{g} x g(x)\right\}\right)
$$



## Summary

- Summary:
- Within MPA, $\mathcal{M}_{V,\{0,+; 0+\}}$ factorization of the overlap of the wavefunctions
- Allows to compare results within dipole picture and collinear factorization framework
- Role of the quark $t$-channel exchange within MPA can be important even at small- $x$
- Perspectives :
- The universality of the relation between the dipole scattering amplitude and GPDs has to be checked
- Other helicity amplitudes $\Rightarrow$ sensitivity to other GPDs
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## Dipole model picture

Factorization of the wavefunctions and models

$\notin\left(k_{1}\right) \propto \not p_{2}$

- Eikonal approximation leads to (Anikin, Wüsthof, '99)

$$
\not \phi p_{2} \rightarrow \tilde{q} \not p_{2} \propto v(\tilde{a}) \bar{v}(\tilde{a}) \not p_{2}, \text { such that } \tilde{a}^{2}=0
$$

- The wavefunction factorizes

$$
\left.\Psi_{\gamma^{*}}(y, \underline{\ell}) \propto \frac{\bar{u}\left(\ell_{1}\right) \notin(q) v(\tilde{a})}{a^{2}+i \epsilon}\right|_{\ell_{1}=y p_{1}+\ell_{\perp}+\frac{\ell^{2}}{2 y s} p_{2}}
$$

## The factorization scheme within $k_{T}$-factorization

## Factorization of helicity amplitudes



- Twist 2:
$\gamma_{L}^{*} \rightarrow \rho_{L}\left(\equiv T_{00}\right)$
$\gamma_{T}^{*} \rightarrow \rho_{L}\left(\equiv T_{01}\right)$
Ginzburg, Panfil, Serbo, ' 85
- Twist 3 , in the limit $t \sim 0$ :
- $\gamma_{T}^{*} \rightarrow \rho_{T}\left(\equiv T_{11}\right)$

Anikin, Ivanov, Pire, Szymanowski,
Wallon, '10

- Link with the dipole model (A.B., Szymanowski, Wallon, '13) and implementation of the saturation effects using dipole cross-section models fitted on DIS

$$
\mathcal{I} m \mathcal{M}_{V,\{0+, 0+\}}^{g} \propto \int d y \int d^{2} \underline{r} \sum_{f} C_{V}^{f}\left(\varphi_{1}(y) \hat{\Psi}_{\gamma_{L}^{*}}^{f}(y, \underline{r})\right) \mathcal{N}(x, \xi, \underline{r})
$$

with $\varphi_{1}(y)$ the Leading twist DA

## Dipole models

## Models of dipole cross-section

- Small-x evolution
- Initial condition for the dipole cross-section at a given rapidity from DIS structure functions
- Evolution with rc-BK equation (Balitsky, '07)
- DGLAP evolution (Bartels, Golec-Biernat, Kowalski, '02)

$$
\hat{\sigma}(x, \underline{r})=\sigma_{0}\left(1-\exp \left\{-\frac{\pi^{2} \underline{r}^{2} \alpha_{s}\left(\mu^{2}\left(\underline{r}^{2}\right)\right) x g\left(x, \mu^{2}\left(\underline{r}^{2}\right)\right)}{3 \sigma_{0}}\right\}\right)
$$

## Contributions of other amplitudes



## Large $Q^{2}$ limit check

- $\sigma_{L} \propto\left|\int d y \int d^{2} \underline{r}\left(\Psi_{V}^{*} \Psi_{\gamma}\right)(y, \underline{r}) \hat{\sigma}(x, r)\right|^{2}$
- Expect to find same numerical values at large $Q^{2}$ using :
- a dipole cross-section model (here GBW model, ( Golec-Biernat, Wüsthof, '98)): $\hat{\sigma}(x, r)=\sigma_{0}\left(1-e^{-r^{2} /\left(4 R_{0}(x)^{2}\right)}\right)$
- a dipole cross-section model with $\underline{r}^{2} \rightarrow \underline{r}_{0}^{2}=\frac{4}{y \bar{y} Q^{2}}$



## Transversely polarized cross-section

- Helicity amplitude $\mathcal{M}_{V,\{++,++\}}$ within MPA .. under study
- The overlap of wavefunctions appearing within $k_{T}$-factorization $\Psi_{V}^{*}(y, \underline{r}) \Psi_{\gamma^{*}}(y, \underline{r}):$


$\lambda_{\gamma}=\lambda_{V}= \pm$
Twist 3 combination of DAs (in Wandzura-Wilczek approx.) (A.B., Szymanowski, Wallon, '13)
- Expected to be sensitive to the Sudakov form factor suppression

