## Testing fundamental physical principles with entangled neutral K mesons



Antonio Di Domenico Dipartimento di Fisica, Sapienza Università di Roma and INFN sezione di Roma, Italy



INFN



13<sup>th</sup> International Workshop on Meson Production, Properties and Interaction KRAKÓW, POLAND, 29<sup>th</sup> May - 3<sup>rd</sup> June 2014

a) Test of Quantum coherence KLOE coll. PLB 642(2006) 315, FP40 (2010) 852 CPLEAR PLB 422 (1999) 339

#### b) Test of CPT symmetry + Quantum coherence Bernabeu, Mavromatos et al. PRL 92 (2004) 131601, NPB744 (2006) 180 [J.Ellis et al. NPB241, 381; PRD 53, 3846]

Bérnabeu, Mavromatos et al. PRL 92 (2004) 131601, NPB744 (2006) 180 [J.Ellis et al. NPB241, 381; PRD 53, 3846] KLOE coll. PLB 642(2006) 315, FP40 (2010) 852 [ CPLEAR PLB 364 (1995) 239]

#### c) Test of Lorentz and CPT symmetry

Kostelecky PRD61 (1999) 016002, PRD64 (2001) 076001 KLOE coll. PLB 730 (2014) 89

#### d) Direct Test of T (time-reversal), CPT symmetries

Bernabeu, A.D.D. et al. NPB 868 (2013) 102

e) Bell's inequality test

Hiesmayr, A.D.D. et al. EPJC (2012) 72:1856

f) Kaonic quantum eraser (Bohr's complementarity)

Bramon, Garbarino, Hiesmayr PRL (2004) 020405

g) Test of collapse models

Donadi, Bassi, Curceanu, A.D.D., Hiesmayr et al. Found Phys 43 (2013) 813, Sci. Rep. 3 (2013) 1952

a) Test of Quantum coherence KLOE coll. PLB 642(2006) 315, FP40 (2010) 852 CPLEAR PLB 422 (1999) 339

#### b) Test of CPT symmetry + Quantum coherence Bernabeu, Mavromatos et al. PRL 92 (2004) 131601, NPB744 (2006) 180 [J.Ellis et al. NPB241, 381; PRD 53, 3846]

Bérnabeu, Mavromatos et al. PRL 92 (2004) 131601, NPB744 (2006) 180 [J.Ellis et al. NPB241, 381; PRD 53, 3846] KLOE coll. PLB 642(2006) 315, FP40 (2010) 852 [ CPLEAR PLB 364 (1995) 239]

c) Test of Lorentz and CPT symmetry see Bloise's talk

Kostelecky (2001) 076001 KLOE coll. PLB 730 (2014) 89

## d) Direct Test of T (time-reversal), CPT symmetries

Bernabeu, A.D.D. et al. NPB 868 (2013) 102

e) Bell's inequality test

Hiesmayr, A.D.D. et al. EPJC (2012) 72:1856

f) Kaonic quantum eraser (Bohr's complementarity)

Bramon, Garbarino, Hiesmayr PRL (2004) 020405

g) Test of collapse models

Donadi, Bassi, Curceanu, A.D.D., Hiesmayr et al. Found Phys 43 (2013) 813, Sci. Rep. 3 (2013) 1952

- a) Test of Quantum coherence Bertlmann, Grimus, Hiesmayr PR D60 (1999) 114032 KLOE coll. PLB 642(2006) 315, FP40 (2010) 852 CPLEAR PLB 422 (1999) 339 b) Test of CPT symmetry + Quantum coherence Bernabeu, Mavromatos et al. PRL 92 (2004) 131601, NPB744 (2006) 180 [J.Ellis et al. NPB241, 381; PRD 53, 3846] KLOE coll. PLB 642(2006) 315, FP40 (2010) 852 [ CPLEAR PLB 364 (1995) 239 ] c) Test of Lorentz and CPT symmetry see Bloise's talk Kostelecky (2001) 076001 KLOE coll. PLB 730 (2014) 89 d) Direct Test of T (time-reversal), CPT symmetries Bernabeu, A.D.D. et al. NPB 868 (2013) 102 e) Bell's inequality test see Hiesmayr's talk Hiesmayr, A.D. Kaonic quantum eraser (Bohr's complementarity) **f**)
  - Bramon, Garbarino, Hiesmayr PRL (2004) 020405
- g) Test of collapse models

Donadi, Bassi, Curceanu, A.D.D., Hiesmayr et al. Found Phys 43 (2013) 813, Sci. Rep. 3 (2013) 1952

a) Test of Quantum coherence KLOE coll. PLB 642(2006) 315, FP40 (2010) 852 CPLEAR PLB 422 (1999) 339

#### b) Test of CPT symmetry + Quantum coherence Bernabeu, Mavromatos et al. PRL 92 (2004) 131601, NPB744 (2006) 180 [J.Ellis et al. NPB241, 381; PRD 53, 3846]

Bérnabeu, Mavromatos et al. PRL 92 (2004) 131601, NPB744 (2006) 180 [J.Ellis et al. NPB241, 381; PRD 53, 3846] KLOE coll. PLB 642(2006) 315, FP40 (2010) 852 [ CPLEAR PLB 364 (1995) 239]

c) Test of Lorentz and CPT symmetry

Kostelecky PRD61 (1999) 016002, PRD64 (2001) 076001



e) Bell's inequality test

Hiesmayr, A.D.D. et al. EPJC (2012) 72:1856

f) Kaonic quantum eraser (Bohr's complementarity)

Bramon, Garbarino, Hiesmayr PRL (2004) 020405

g) Test of collapse models

Donadi, Bassi, Curceanu, A.D.D., Hiesmayr et al. Found Phys 43 (2013) 813, Sci. Rep. 3 (2013) 1952

## **Time Reversal: introduction**

•The transformation of a system corresponding to the inversion of the time coordinate, the formal substitution  $t \rightarrow -t$ , is usually called 'time reversal', but a more appropriate name would actually be **motion reversal**.



•Exchange of in <-> out states and reversal of all momenta and spins tests time reversal, i.e. the symmetry of the responsible dynamics for the observed process under time reversal t  $\rightarrow -t$  (transformation implemented in QM by an antiunitary operator)

•Similarly for CPT tests: the exchange of in <-> out states etc.. is required.

## **Test of Time Reversal symmetry**

•T-Violation exists in the Standard Model of electro-weak interactions

•CPT theorem => All local unitary field theories with Lorentz invariance have CPT symmetry

• Automatic connection between CP-violation and T-violation

•T and CPT described by ANTIUNITARY rather than unitary operators, introducing many intriguing subtleties.

•Even though CPT invariance has been experimentally confirmed, particularly in the neutral kaon system with stringent limits, the theoretical connection between CP and T symmetries does not imply an experimental identity between them.

•Time reversal symmetry can be tested e.g. in the case of

(i) T-odd observable for a non degenerate stationary state: e.g. electric dipole moment of neutron;

(ii) transition between stable particles: e.g. neutrino oscillations (iii) transition between unstable particles: e.g. K<sup>0</sup> oscillations

## Test of Time Reversal symmetry using Kabir's asymmetry

•Only one evidence of T violation: Kabir asymmetry ('70), comparing a process with its T-conjugated one, i.e.  $K^0 \to \overline{K}^0$  vs  $\overline{K}^0 \to K^0$  performed by the CPLEAR experiment (1998)

$$A_{T} = \frac{P(\overline{K}^{0} \to K^{0}) - P(K^{0} \to \overline{K}^{0})}{P(\overline{K}^{0} \to K^{0}) + P(K^{0} \to \overline{K}^{0})}$$



τ

 $K^0$ 

τ=0

 $\pi$ 

## **Test of Time Reversal symmetry using Kabir's asymmetry**

•Only one evidence of T violation: Kabir asymmetry ('70), comparing a process with its T-conjugated one, i.e.  $K^0 \to \bar{K}^0$  vs  $\bar{K}^0 \to K^0$  performed by the CPLEAR experiment (1998)

$$A_{T} = \frac{P(\overline{K}^{0} \to K^{0}) - P(K^{0} \to \overline{K}^{0})}{P(\overline{K}^{0} \to K^{0}) + P(K^{0} \to \overline{K}^{0})}$$
  
= 4  $\Re \varepsilon$ 

assumption: no CPT violation in semileptonic decay:

$$\Re\big(y-x_{-}\big)=0$$

T viol  

$$\varepsilon = \frac{H_{12} - H_{21}}{2(\lambda_S - \lambda_L)} \qquad \delta = \frac{H_{11} - H_{22}}{2(\lambda_S - \lambda_L)}$$





## **Test of Time Reversal symmetry using Kabir's asymmetry**

- A direct evidence for T violation would mean an experiment that, considered by itself, clearly shows T violation INDEPENDENT and unconnected to the results for CP violation and CPT invariance
- Controversial interpretation of the CPLEAR result as "direct" test:
- L. Wolfenstein "It is known from the detailed analysis of the CP-violating effects that this mixing indeed violates T as expected from CPT invariance. Thus the question we ask is not whether T is violated, which is known, but a didactic question as to whether we now have direct evidence." "it is not as direct a test of TRV as one might like"
- •1) Remark:  $K^0 \to \overline{K}^0$  is a CPT-even transition, so  $CP \equiv T$  in this case ! <u>CP and T cannot be distinguished (not independent)</u> T test:  $K^0 \to \overline{K}^0$  vs  $\overline{K}^0 \to K^0$ CP test:  $K^0 \to \overline{K}^0$  vs  $\overline{K}^0 \to K^0$
- 2)  $A_T \propto \Re \varepsilon \propto \Delta \Gamma = \Gamma_S \Gamma_L$ ; if  $\Delta \Gamma \sim 0$  the TRV effect vanishes (in B meson system  $\Delta \Gamma \sim 0$ : no TRV through  $B^0 \rightarrow \overline{B}^0$  transition); decay plays an essential role.
- L. Wolfenstein IJMP(1999), PRL (1999), Bernabeu PLB (1999), NPB (2000), H.
   Quinn (JPPS (2008); Bernabeu, Martinez Vidal, Villanueva JHEP (2012)

## **KLOE/KLOE-2 experiment at the Frascati φ-factory DAΦNE**



$$|i\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ |K^{0}(\vec{p})\rangle | \overline{K}^{0}(-\vec{p})\rangle - |\overline{K}^{0}(\vec{p})\rangle | K^{0}(-\vec{p})\rangle \right]$$
- decay as filtering measurement  
=  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ |K_{+}(\vec{p})\rangle | K_{-}(-\vec{p})\rangle - |K_{-}(\vec{p})\rangle | K_{+}(-\vec{p})\rangle \right]$ 
- entanglement -> preparation of state  
 $\pi^{+} I^{-} \underline{v}$ 

$$K^{0}$$

$$K_{-}$$

$$3\pi^{0}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |i\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ |K^{0}(\vec{p})\rangle | \overline{K}^{0}(-\vec{p})\rangle - |\overline{K}^{0}(\vec{p})\rangle | K^{0}(-\vec{p})\rangle \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ |K_{+}(\vec{p})\rangle | K_{-}(-\vec{p})\rangle - |K_{-}(\vec{p})\rangle | K_{+}(-\vec{p})\rangle \right] \\ \pi^{+} | \underline{\nabla} \\ \hline \mathbf{K}^{0} \\ \mathbf{K}^{0} \\$$

$$|i\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [|K^{0}(\vec{p})\rangle | \overline{K}^{0}(-\vec{p})\rangle - |\overline{K}^{0}(\vec{p})\rangle | K^{0}(-\vec{p})\rangle]$$
• decay as filtering  
measurement  
• entanglement ->  
preparation of state  

$$\pi^{+} | \underline{v} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{K}^{0}} \underbrace{\Phi}_{t_{1}} \underbrace{K^{0}}_{t_{1}} \underbrace{K^{0}}_{t_{1}} \underbrace{K^{0}}_{K_{1}} \underbrace{K^{$$







• EPR correlations at a  $\phi$ -factory (or B-factory) can be exploited to study other transitions involving also orthogonal "CP states" K<sub>+</sub> and K<sub>-</sub> (K<sub>1</sub>, K<sub>2</sub>)

$$\begin{split} \vec{k} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ |K^{0}(\vec{p})\rangle | \vec{K}^{0}(-\vec{p})\rangle - |\vec{K}^{0}(\vec{p})\rangle | K^{0}(-\vec{p})\rangle \right] & \text{-decay as filtering measurement} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ |K_{+}(\vec{p})\rangle | K_{-}(-\vec{p})\rangle - |K_{-}(\vec{p})\rangle | K_{+}(-\vec{p})\rangle \right] & \text{-entanglement } -> \\ &= \operatorname{reparation of state} \\ \pi^{+} | \underbrace{\nabla} & \bigoplus & K^{0} & K \\ & \underbrace{K^{0}} & \bigoplus & K^{0} & K \\ & \underbrace{K^{0}} & \xrightarrow{K^{0}} & 3\pi^{0} \\ & \underbrace{K^{0}} & \xrightarrow{K^{0}} & K_{-} & \text{reference process} \\ I(\pi\pi, l^{+}; \Delta t) = C(\pi\pi, l^{+}) \times P[K_{-}(0) \to K^{0}(\Delta t)] \end{split}$$

In general with  $f_X$  decayng before  $f_Y$ , i.e.  $\Delta t > 0$  :

$$I(f_{\bar{X}}, f_{Y}; \Delta t) = C(f_{\bar{X}}, f_{Y}) \times P[K_{X}(0) \to K_{Y}(\Delta t)]$$

with  $C(f_{\bar{X}}, f_Y) = \frac{1}{2(\Gamma_S + \Gamma_L)} |\langle f_{\bar{X}} | T | \bar{K}_X \rangle \langle f_Y | T | K_Y \rangle|^2$ 

Reference	T-conjugate	CP-conjugate	CPT-conjugate
$\mathrm{K}^{0} \to \mathrm{K}^{0}$	$\mathrm{K}^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{K}^{0}$	$\bar{K}^0 \to \bar{K}^0$	$\bar{K}^0 \to \bar{K}^0$
$K^0 \to \bar{K}^0$	$\bar{\mathrm{K}}^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{K}^{0}$	$\bar{K}^0 \to K^0$	${\rm K}^0 \to \bar{\rm K}^0$
$\mathrm{K}^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{K}_{+}$	$K_+ \to K^0$	$\bar{K}^0 \to K_+$	$K_+ \to \bar{K}^0$
$\mathrm{K}^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{K}_{-}$	$K_{-} \rightarrow K^{0}$	$\bar{K}^0 \to K$	$K \to \bar{K}^0$
$\bar{K}^0 \to K^0$	$K^0 \to \bar{K}^0$	$K^0 \to \bar{K}^0$	$\bar{K}^0 \to K^0$
$\bar{K}^0 \to \bar{K}^0$	$\bar{K}^0 \to \bar{K}^0$	$\mathrm{K}^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{K}^{0}$	$\mathrm{K}^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{K}^{0}$
$\bar{K}^0 \to K_+$	$K_+ \to \bar{K}^0$	$\mathrm{K}^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{K}_{+}$	$\mathrm{K}_+ \to \mathrm{K}^0$
$\bar{K}^0 \to K$	$K \to \bar{K}^0$	$\mathrm{K}^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{K}_{-}$	$\mathrm{K}_{-} \to \mathrm{K}^{0}$
$\mathrm{K}_+ \to \mathrm{K}^0$	$\mathrm{K}^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{K}_{+}$	$K_+ \to \bar{K}^0$	$\bar{K}^0 \to K_+$
$K_+ \to \bar{K}^0$	$\bar{\mathrm{K}}^0 \to \mathrm{K}_+$	$\mathrm{K}_+ \to \mathrm{K}^0$	$\mathrm{K}^{0} \to \mathrm{K}_{+}$
$\mathrm{K}_+ \to \mathrm{K}_+$	$K_+ \rightarrow K_+$	$\mathrm{K}_+ \to \mathrm{K}_+$	$\mathrm{K}_+ \to \mathrm{K}_+$
$\mathrm{K}_+ \to \mathrm{K}$	$K_{-} \rightarrow K_{+}$	$\mathrm{K}_+ \to \mathrm{K}$	$K \rightarrow K_+$
$\mathrm{K}_{-} \to \mathrm{K}^{0}$	$\mathrm{K}^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{K}_{-}$	$K \to \bar{K}^0$	$\bar{K}^0 \to K$
$K\to \bar K^0$	$\bar{\mathrm{K}}^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{K}_{-}$	$\mathrm{K}_{-} \to \mathrm{K}^{0}$	$\mathrm{K}^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{K}_{-}$
$\mathrm{K}_{-} \to \mathrm{K}_{+}$	$K_+ \rightarrow K$	$\mathrm{K}_{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{K}_{+}$	$\mathrm{K}_+ \to \mathrm{K}$
$\mathrm{K}_{-} \to \mathrm{K}_{-}$	$K_{-} \rightarrow K_{-}$	$\mathrm{K}_{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{K}_{-}$	$\mathrm{K}_{-} \to \mathrm{K}_{-}$

Conjugate= reference

Reference	<i>T</i> -conjugate	CP-conjugate	CPT-conjugate
$\mathrm{K}^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{K}^{0}$	$\mathbf{X}_{0} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}_{0}$	$\bar{K}^0 \to \bar{K}^0$	$\bar{K}^0 \to \bar{K}^0$
$K^0 \to \bar{K}^0$	$\bar{\mathrm{K}}^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{K}^{0}$	$\bar{K}^0 \to K^0$	$\mathbf{K}^{0} \rightarrow \mathbf{K}^{0}$
$\mathrm{K}^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{K}_{+}$	$K_+ \to K^0$	$\bar{\mathrm{K}}^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{K}_{+}$	$K_+ \to \bar{K}^0$
$\mathrm{K}^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{K}_{-}$	$K_{-} \rightarrow K^{0}$	$\bar{K}^0 \to K$	$K \to \bar{K}^0$
$\bar{K}^0 \to K^0$	$K^0 \rightarrow \bar{K}^0$	$K^0 \to \bar{K}^0$	$\mathbf{\bar{k}}^0 \setminus \mathbf{k}^0$
$\bar{K}^0 \to \bar{K}^0$	$\overline{\mathbf{K}}^0 \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{K}}^0$	$\mathrm{K}^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{K}^{0}$	$\mathrm{K}^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{K}^{0}$
$\bar{K}^0 \to K_+$	$K_+ \to \bar{K}^0$	$\mathrm{K}^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{K}_{+}$	$\mathrm{K}_+ \to \mathrm{K}^0$
$\bar{K}^0 \to K$	$K \to \bar{K}^0$	$\mathrm{K}^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{K}_{-}$	$\mathrm{K}_{-} \to \mathrm{K}^{0}$
$\mathrm{K}_+ \to \mathrm{K}^0$	$K^0 \rightarrow K_+$	$K_+ \to \bar{K}^0$	$\bar{K}^0 \to K_+$
$K_+ \to \bar{K}^0$	$\bar{\mathrm{K}}^0 \to \mathrm{K}_+$	$K_+ \rightarrow K^0$	$\mathrm{K}^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{K}_{+}$
$\mathrm{K}_+ \to \mathrm{K}_+$	K K		K K
$K_+ \to K$	$K_{-} \rightarrow K_{+}$		$\mathrm{K}_{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{K}_{+}$
$\mathrm{K}_{-} \to \mathrm{K}^{0}$	$K^0 \rightarrow K$	$K \to \bar{K}^0$	$\bar{K}^0 \to K$
$K \to \bar{K}^0$	$\bar{\mathrm{K}}^0 \to \mathrm{K}$	$\mathrm{K}_{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{K}^{0}$	$\mathrm{K}^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{K}_{-}$
$\mathrm{K}_{-} \to \mathrm{K}_{+}$	$K_+ \rightarrow K$		$\mathrm{K}_+ \to \mathrm{K}$
$K_{-} \rightarrow K_{-}$			K K

Conjugate= reference

already in the table with conjugate as reference

Reference	T-conjugate	CP-conjugate	CPT-conjugate
$K^0 \to K^0$		$\bar{K}^0 \to \bar{K}^0$	$\bar{K}^0 \to \bar{K}^0$
$K^0 \to \bar{K}^0$	$\bar{\mathrm{K}}^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{K}^{0}$	$\bar{K}^0 \to K^0$	$\mathbf{N}^{0} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}^{0}$
$\mathrm{K}^{0} \to \mathrm{K}_{+}$	$K_+ \rightarrow K^0$	$\bar{\mathrm{K}}^{0} \to \mathrm{K}_{+}$	$K_+ \to \bar{K}^0$
$\mathrm{K}^{0} \to \mathrm{K}_{-}$	$K_{-} \rightarrow K^{0}$	$\bar{K}^0 \to K$	$K \to \bar{K}^0$
$\bar{K}^0 \to K^0$	$K^0 \setminus \bar{K}^0$	$\mathbf{K}_0$ $\mathbf{K}_0$	$\bar{\mathbf{k}}^0 \setminus \mathbf{k}^0$
$\bar{K}^0 \to \bar{K}^0$	$\overline{\mathbf{X}}^{0} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{X}}^{0}$	$\overline{\mathbf{K}}^{0} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{K}}^{0}$	$\overline{\mathrm{M}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathrm{M}}$
$\bar{K}^0 \to K_+$	$K_+ \rightarrow \bar{K}^0$	$\mathbf{K}^{0}$ , $\mathbf{K}_{+}$	$\mathrm{K}_+ \to \mathrm{K}^0$
$\bar{K}^0 \to K$	$K_{-} \rightarrow \bar{K}^{0}$		$\mathrm{K}_{-} \to \mathrm{K}^{0}$
$\mathrm{K}_+ \to \mathrm{K}^0$	$K^0 \rightarrow K$	$K_+ \to \bar{K}^0$	$\bar{\mathbf{K}}^0$ , $\mathbf{K}_{+}$
$K_+ \to \bar{K}^0$	$\overline{\mathbf{K}^{0}}$ $\overline{\mathbf{K}_{+}}$	$\mathbf{H}_{+}$ $\mathbf{H}_{0}$	$\mathbf{K}^{0}$ $\mathbf{K}_{+}$
$\mathrm{K}_+ \to \mathrm{K}_+$	K K		K K
$\mathrm{K}_+ \to \mathrm{K}$	$K \rightarrow K_+$		$\mathrm{K}_{-} \to \mathrm{K}_{+}$
$\mathrm{K}_{-} \to \mathrm{K}^{0}$		$K \to \bar{K}^0$	
$K \to \bar{K}^0$		$\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{H}^0$	
$\mathrm{K}_{-} \to \mathrm{K}_{+}$			
$\mathrm{K}_{-} \to \mathrm{K}_{-}$			K K

Conjugate=	
reference	

Conjugate-

already in the table with conjugate as reference

Two identical conjugates for one reference

	Reference	T-conjugate	CP-conjugate	CPT-conjugate
	$\mathrm{K}^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{K}^{0}$	$\mathbf{K}_{0} \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_{0}$	$\bar{K}^0 \to \bar{K}^0$	$\bar{\mathrm{K}}^{0} \rightarrow \bar{\mathrm{K}}^{0}$
	$K^0 \to \bar{K}^0$	$\bar{\mathrm{K}}^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{K}^{0}$	$\bar{\mathrm{K}}^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{K}^{0}$	$\mathbf{K}^{0} \rightarrow \mathbf{K}^{0}$
	$\mathrm{K}^{0} \to \mathrm{K}_{+}$	$K_+ \to K^0$	$\bar{K}^0 \to K_+$	$K_+ \to \bar{K}^0$
_	$\mathrm{K}^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{K}_{-}$	$K_{-} \rightarrow K^{0}$	$\bar{K}^0 \to K$	$K \to \bar{K}^0$
e	$\bar{K}^0 \to K^0$	$K^0 \setminus \overline{K}^0$	$\mathbf{K}_0$ $\mathbf{K}_0$	$\bar{\mathbf{k}}^0 \setminus \mathbf{k}^0$
	$\bar{K}^0 \to \bar{K}^0$	$\overline{\mathbf{X}}^0 \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{X}}^0$	$\mathbf{\overline{K}}^{0} \rightarrow \mathbf{\overline{K}}^{0}$	$\overline{\mathrm{K}^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{K}^{0}}$
,	$\bar{K}^0 \to K_+$	$K_+ \to \bar{K}^0$	$\mathbf{K}^{0} \rightarrow \mathbf{K}^{+}$	$\mathrm{K}_+ \to \mathrm{K}^0$
	$\bar{K}^0 \to K$	$K \to \bar{K}^0$	$\mathbf{K}^{0} \rightarrow \mathbf{K}^{-}$	$\mathrm{K}_{-} \to \mathrm{K}^{0}$
	$\mathrm{K}_+ \to \mathrm{K}^0$	$K^0 \setminus K$	$K_+ \to \bar{K}^0$	$\overline{\mathbf{K}}^0$ , $\mathbf{K}_+$
	$K_+ \to \bar{K}^0$	$\bar{\mathbf{K}}^{0}$ $K_{+}$	$\mathbf{H}_{+}$ $\mathbf{H}_{0}$	$\mathbf{K}^{0}$ $\mathbf{K}_{+}$
	$\mathrm{K}_+ \to \mathrm{K}_+$	$K \rightarrow K$	$\mathbf{K} \to \mathbf{K}_+$	
	$\mathrm{K}_+ \to \mathrm{K}$	$K_{-} \rightarrow K_{+}$		$K \rightarrow K_+$
	$\mathrm{K}_{-} \to \mathrm{K}^{0}$	$\mathbf{K}^0$ $\mathbf{K}$	$K \to \bar{K}^0$	$\mathbf{\bar{K}}^{0} \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_{-}$
nce	$K \to \bar{K}^0$	K <sup>0</sup> K	$\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{H}^0$	
	$\mathrm{K}_{-} \to \mathrm{K}_{+}$		$\mathbf{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{V}_+$	
	$K_{-} \rightarrow K_{-}$			

Conjugate=
reference

already in the table with conjugate as reference

Reference | T-conjugate | CP-conjugate | CPT-conjugate  $K^0 \rightarrow K^0$  $\bar{K}^0 \rightarrow \bar{K}^0$  $\bar{\mathrm{K}}^0 \rightarrow \bar{\mathrm{K}}^0$  $\bar{\mathrm{K}}^0 \rightarrow \mathrm{K}^0$  $K^0 \rightarrow \bar{K}^0$  $\bar{K}^0 \rightarrow K^0$  $K_+ \rightarrow \bar{K}^0$  $K^0 \to K_+ \mid K_+ \to K^0$  $\bar{K}^0 \to K_+$  $K_{-} \rightarrow \bar{K}^{0}$  $K^0 \rightarrow K_- \mid K_- \rightarrow K^0$  $\bar{\mathrm{K}}^0 \to \mathrm{K}_ \bar{\mathbf{K}}^0 \to \mathbf{K}^0 \quad \mathbf{K}^0 \longrightarrow \bar{\mathbf{K}}^0$  $\bar{\mathbf{k}}^0$   $\mathbf{k}^0$  $\underline{K}^0 \quad \overline{K}^0$  $\bar{\mathrm{K}}^0 \rightarrow \bar{\mathrm{K}}^0$  $\overline{\mathbf{R}}^0 \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{R}}^0$  $\bar{\mathrm{K}}^0 \to \mathrm{K}_+ \mid \mathrm{K}_+ \to \bar{\mathrm{K}}^0$  $K_+ \rightarrow K^0$  $\bar{\mathrm{K}}^0 \to \mathrm{K}_- \mid \mathrm{K}_- \to \bar{\mathrm{K}}^0$  $K_{-} \rightarrow K^{0}$  $K_+ \to \overline{K^0} \quad \overline{K^0} \to \overline{K}$  $K_+ \to \bar{K}^0$ <u>ko</u> k  $K_+ \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \mid \bar{K}^0 \quad K_+$  $K_+ \rightarrow K_+ | K_- \rightarrow K_-$ Two identical  $K_+ \rightarrow K_- \mid K_- \rightarrow K_+$  $K_{-} \rightarrow K_{+}$  $K_{-} \rightarrow K^{0}$   $K^{0}$   $K^{0}$ conjugates  $K_{-} \rightarrow \bar{K}^{0}$ for one reference  $K_{-} \rightarrow \bar{K}^{0}$  $\overline{\mathbf{V}}^{(1)}$  $\mathbf{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{V}_{\perp}$  $K_{-} \rightarrow K_{+} \mid \underline{K_{-}} \rightarrow \underline{K_{-}}$  $K_{-} \rightarrow K_{-} \mid K_{-} \rightarrow K_{-}$  $\mathbf{V}$  $\mathbf{V}$ 

4 distinct tests of T symmetry

4 distinct tests of CP symmetry

4 distinct tests of CPT symmetry

#### T symmetry test

Reference		T-conjugate	
Transition	Final state	Transition	Final state
$\bar{K}^0 \to K$	$(\ell^+,\pi^0\pi^0\pi^0)$	$K \to \bar{K}^0$	$(\pi^0\pi^0\pi^0,\ell^-)$
$\mathrm{K}_+ \to \mathrm{K}^0$	$(\pi^0\pi^0\pi^0,\ell^+)$	$\mathrm{K}^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{K}_{+}$	$(\ell^-,\pi\pi)$
$\bar{K}^0 \to K_+$	$(\ell^+, \pi\pi)$	$K_+ \to \bar{K}^0$	$(\pi^0\pi^0\pi^0,\ell^-)$
$\mathrm{K}_{-} \to \mathrm{K}^{0}$	$(\pi\pi, \ell^+)$	$\mathrm{K}^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{K}_{-}$	$(\ell^-,\pi\pi)$

One can define the following ratios of probabilities:

$$\begin{aligned} R_1(\Delta t) &= P\left[\mathrm{K}^0(0) \to \mathrm{K}_+(\Delta t)\right] / P\left[\mathrm{K}_+(0) \to \mathrm{K}^0(\Delta t)\right] \\ R_2(\Delta t) &= P\left[\mathrm{K}^0(0) \to \mathrm{K}_-(\Delta t)\right] / P\left[\mathrm{K}_-(0) \to \mathrm{K}^0(\Delta t)\right] \\ R_3(\Delta t) &= P\left[\bar{\mathrm{K}}^0(0) \to \mathrm{K}_+(\Delta t)\right] / P\left[\mathrm{K}_+(0) \to \bar{\mathrm{K}}^0(\Delta t)\right] \\ R_4(\Delta t) &= P\left[\bar{\mathrm{K}}^0(0) \to \mathrm{K}_-(\Delta t)\right] / P\left[\mathrm{K}_-(0) \to \bar{\mathrm{K}}^0(\Delta t)\right] \end{aligned}$$

Any deviation from R<sub>i</sub>=1 constitutes a violation of T-symmetry

J. Bernabeu, A.D.D., P. Villanueva: NPB 868 (2013) 102

Any deviation from  $R_i$ =1 constitutes a direct evidence of T-symmetry violation



$$R_{1}^{\exp}(\Delta t) = \frac{I(\ell^{-}, \pi\pi; \Delta t)}{I(3\pi^{0}, \ell^{+}; \Delta t)} = R_{1}(\Delta t) \times \frac{C(\ell^{-}, \pi\pi)}{C(3\pi^{0}, \ell^{+})}$$

$$R_{2}^{\exp}(\Delta t) = \frac{I(\ell^{-}, 3\pi^{0}; \Delta t)}{I(\pi\pi, \ell^{+}; \Delta t)} = R_{2}(\Delta t) \times \frac{C(\ell^{-}, 3\pi^{0})}{C(\pi\pi, \ell^{-})}$$

$$R_{3}^{\exp}(\Delta t) = \frac{I(\ell^{+}, \pi\pi; \Delta t)}{I(3\pi^{0}, \ell^{-}; \Delta t)} = R_{3}(\Delta t) \times \frac{C(\ell^{+}, \pi\pi)}{C(3\pi^{0}, \ell^{-})}$$

$$R_{4}^{\exp}(\Delta t) = \frac{I(\ell^{+}, 3\pi^{0}; \Delta t)}{I(\pi\pi, \ell^{-}; \Delta t)} = R_{4}(\Delta t) \times \frac{C(\ell^{+}, 3\pi^{0})}{C(\pi\pi, \ell^{-})}$$
In practice two measurable ratios with  $\Delta t < 0 \text{ or } > 0$ 

$$R_{2}^{\exp}(-\Delta t) = \frac{1}{R_{3}^{\exp}(\Delta t)} = \frac{1}{R_{3}(\Delta t)} \times \frac{C(3\pi^{0}, \ell^{-})}{C(\ell^{+}, \pi\pi)},$$

$$R_{4}^{\exp}(-\Delta t) = \frac{1}{R_{1}^{\exp}(\Delta t)} = \frac{1}{R_{1}(\Delta t)} \times \frac{C(3\pi^{0}, \ell^{-})}{C(\ell^{-}, \pi\pi)}.$$

toy MC with L=10 fb<sup>-1</sup>



toy MC with L=10 fb<sup>-1</sup>



toy MC with L=10 fb<sup>-1</sup>



toy MC with L=10 fb<sup>-1</sup>



toy MC with L=10 fb<sup>-1</sup>



Integrating in a  $\Delta$ t region between 0 and 300  $\tau_{s}$  => stat. significance of 4.4, 6.2, 8.8  $\sigma$  with L=5, 10, 20 fb<sup>-1</sup> (full efficiency)

NPB 868 (2013) 102

pros:

in the "plateau" region the impact of direct CP violation effects on the assumption of orthogonality of K+ and K- states has been evaluated => negligible

#### cons:

-in the "plateau" region one needs to measure the absolute value of  $R_i$ . Assuming no CPT violation in semileptonic decays:  $R_2^{exp}(\Delta t)$ 

- It is needed to measure the constant D with  $\sim 0.1\%$  precision,

i.e. BRs and  $K_S$ ,  $K_L$  lifetimes

-in the "plateau" region effect proportional to  $Re(\epsilon)$ 

### T test could be feasible at KLOE-2 @ DA $\Phi$ NE with L=O(10 fb<sup>-1</sup>)

 $I_{i}(\Delta \tau) \sim e^{-\Gamma \Delta \tau} \{ C_{i} \cos(\Delta m \Delta \tau) + S_{i} \sin(\Delta m \Delta \tau) \}$ 

+C'<sub>i</sub> cosh( $\Delta\Gamma\Delta\tau$ ) + S'<sub>i</sub> sinh( $\Delta\Gamma\Delta\tau$ ) }

Direct T violation observed at BABAR in the B's with significance of 14  $\sigma$ Babar coll. PRL 109 (2012) 211801



 $-1.37 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.06$ 

 $1.17 \pm 0.18 \pm 0.11$ 

 $0.10 \pm 0.16 \pm 0.08$ 

=

Direct T violation observed at BABAR in the B's with significance of 14  $\sigma$ Babar coll. PRL 109 (2012) 211801



#### **CPT symmetry test**

Reference		CPT-conjugate		
Transition	Decay products	Transition	Decay products	
$\mathrm{K}^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{K}_{+}$	$(\ell^-, \pi\pi)$	${\rm K}_+ \to \bar{\rm K}^0$	$(3\pi^0, \ell^-)$	
$\mathrm{K}^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{K}_{-}$	$(\ell^{-}, 3\pi^{0})$	$\mathrm{K}_{-}\to \bar{\mathrm{K}}^{0}$	$(\pi\pi, \ell^-)$	
$\bar{\rm K}^0 \to {\rm K}_+$	$(\ell^+, \pi\pi)$	$\mathrm{K}_+ \to \mathrm{K}^0$	$(3\pi^0, \ell^+)$	
$\bar{K}^0 \to K$	$(\ell^+, 3\pi^0)$	$K_{-} \rightarrow K^{0}$	$(\pi\pi, \ell^+)$	

One can define the following ratios of probabilities:

$$\begin{aligned} R_{1,\mathcal{CPT}}(\Delta t) &= P\left[\mathrm{K}^{0}(0) \to \mathrm{K}_{+}(\Delta t)\right] / P\left[\mathrm{K}_{+}(0) \to \bar{\mathrm{K}}^{0}(\Delta t)\right] \\ R_{2,\mathcal{CPT}}(\Delta t) &= P\left[\mathrm{K}^{0}(0) \to \mathrm{K}_{-}(\Delta t)\right] / P\left[\mathrm{K}_{-}(0) \to \bar{\mathrm{K}}^{0}(\Delta t)\right] \\ R_{3,\mathcal{CPT}}(\Delta t) &= P\left[\bar{\mathrm{K}}^{0}(0) \to \mathrm{K}_{+}(\Delta t)\right] / P\left[\mathrm{K}_{+}(0) \to \mathrm{K}^{0}(\Delta t)\right] \\ R_{4,\mathcal{CPT}}(\Delta t) &= P\left[\bar{\mathrm{K}}^{0}(0) \to \mathrm{K}_{-}(\Delta t)\right] / P\left[\mathrm{K}_{-}(0) \to \mathrm{K}^{0}(\Delta t)\right] \end{aligned}$$

Any deviation from  $R_{i,CPT}$ =1 constitutes a violation of T-symmetry

J. Bernabeu, A.D.D., P. Villanueva: NPB 868 (2013) 102 A.D.D. PoS KAON13 (2013) 009











## Conclusions

- •The neutral kaon system is an excellent laboratory for the study of discrete symmetries.
- •By exploiting the EPR entanglement of neutral meson pairs produced at a  $\varphi$ -factory (or B-factories), it is possible to overcome some conceptual difficulties affecting previous tests of time reversal symmetry. It is possible to perform a direct test of the time reversal symmetry, independently from CP violation and CPT invariance constraints.
- In this conceptual framework also new kind of CPT tests in transitions could be performed.
- •The KLOE-2 experiment at the DAFNE could make a statistically significant T, CPT symmetry test with an integrated luminosity of O(10 fb-1).

backup slides

#### The kaon states



#### The kaon states

 $\begin{aligned} \left| K_{+(-)} \right\rangle &= \text{ state filtered by the decay in } \pi\pi(3\pi^{0}) \text{ (pure CP} = +1(-1) \text{ state}) \\ \left| \tilde{K}_{-(+)} \right\rangle &= \text{ state ortogonal to } \left| K_{+(-)} \right\rangle \text{ which cannot decay in } \pi\pi(3\pi^{0}) \\ & \overbrace{\pi\pi}^{\mathbf{K}_{+}} \quad \bigoplus_{t_{1}}^{\Phi} \quad \overbrace{\mathbf{K}_{1}}^{\mathbf{K}_{-}} \quad \overbrace{\Delta t = t_{2} - t_{1}}^{\mathbf{K}_{0}} \quad \overbrace{\pi^{-}l^{+}\nu}^{\pi^{-}l^{+}\nu} \end{aligned}$ 

=>

state orthogonal to  $K_{\text{+}}$  cannot decay in  $\pi\pi$ 

$$\begin{split} |\widetilde{\mathbf{K}}_{-}\rangle &\equiv \widetilde{\mathbf{N}}_{-} \big[ |\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{L}}\rangle - \eta_{\pi\pi} |\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{S}}\rangle \big] \\ |\mathbf{K}_{+}\rangle &= \mathbf{N}_{+} \big[ |\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{S}}\rangle + \alpha |\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{L}}\rangle \big] \end{split}$$

where

$$\alpha = \frac{\eta_{\pi\pi}^{\star} - \langle \mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{L}} | \mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{S}} \rangle}{1 - \eta_{\pi\pi}^{\star} \langle \mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{S}} | \mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{L}} \rangle},$$

need to assume  $|K_+\rangle \equiv |\widetilde{K}_+\rangle$  $|K_-\rangle \equiv |\widetilde{K}_-\rangle$  state orthogonal to K\_ cannot decay in  $3\pi^0$ 

$$|\widetilde{\mathbf{K}}_{+}\rangle \equiv \widetilde{\mathbf{N}}_{+} \left[ |\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{S}}\rangle - \left(\eta_{3\pi^{0}}^{-1}\right) |\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{L}}\rangle \right]$$
$$|\mathbf{K}_{-}\rangle = \mathbf{N}_{-} \left[ |\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{L}}\rangle + \beta |\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{S}}\rangle \right]$$

where

$$\beta = \frac{(\eta_{3\pi^0}^{-1})^* - \langle \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{S}} | \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{L}} \rangle}{1 - (\eta_{3\pi^0}^{-1})^* \langle \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{L}} | \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{S}} \rangle},$$

$$\eta_{\pi\pi} + (\eta_{3\pi^0}^{-1})^* \simeq \langle \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{S}} | \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{L}} \rangle \simeq \epsilon_L + \epsilon_S^*.$$

not valid if direct CP violation is present

MESON 2014 - 29 Massumption of the Ct CPV negligible

Direct CP violation effects have to be evaluated, they could spoil the significance of the T test.

For  $2\pi$  decay,  $\varepsilon'$  can be neglected. For  $3\pi^0$ , bound on  $\varepsilon'_{000}$  from KLOE still too crude! ( $|\eta_{000}| < 0.0088$  @ 90% CL ). Assuming as max. variation  $\varepsilon'_{000}$  effects can be neglected  $|\eta_{3\pi0}| = |\eta_{2\pi}| \pm 10\%$  $\phi(\eta_{3\pi0}) = \phi(\eta_{2\pi}) \pm 10^{\circ}$ 



Direct CP violation effects have to be evaluated, they could spoil the significance of the T test.

For  $2\pi$  decay,  $\varepsilon'$  can be neglected. For  $3\pi^0$ , bound on  $\varepsilon'_{000}$  from KLOE still too crude! ( $|\eta_{000}| < 0.0088$  @ 90% CL ). Assuming as max. variation  $\varepsilon'_{000}$  effects can be neglected  $|\eta_{3\pi0}| = |\eta_{2\pi}| \pm 10\%$  $\phi(\eta_{3\pi0}) = \phi(\eta_{2\pi}) \pm 10^{\circ}$ 





A. Di Domenico

MESON 2014 – 29 May – 3 June 2014, Krakow, Poland

Neutral kaon interferometry  $|i\rangle = \frac{N}{\sqrt{2}} \Big[ |K_{s}(\vec{p})\rangle |K_{L}(-\vec{p})\rangle - |K_{L}(\vec{p})\rangle |K_{s}(-\vec{p})\rangle \Big]$ Double differential time distribution:  $I(f_1, t_1; f_2, t_2) = C_{12} \{ |\eta_1|^2 e^{-\Gamma_L t_1 - \Gamma_S t_2} + |\eta_2|^2 e^{-\Gamma_S t_1 - \Gamma_L t_2} \}$  $\sum_{s=1}^{T_s+T_L} (t_1+t_2)/2 \cos \Delta m(t_2-t_1) + \phi_1 - \phi_1$ where  $t_1(t_2)$  is the proper time of one (the other) kaon decay into  $f_1(f_2)$ final state and:  $\eta_i = |\eta_i| e^{i\phi_i} = \langle f_i | T | K_L \rangle / \langle f_i | T | K_S \rangle$ 

 $\eta_{i} = |\eta_{i}|e^{-t} = \langle J_{i}|I|K_{L} \rangle / \langle J_{i}|I|K_{S}$  $C_{12} = \frac{|N|^{2}}{2} |\langle f_{1}|T|K_{S} \rangle \langle f_{2}|T|K_{S} \rangle|^{2}$ 

characteristic interference term at a φ-factory => interferometry

From these distributions for various final states  $f_i$  one can measure the following quantities:  $\Gamma_S$ ,  $\Gamma_L$ ,  $\Delta m$ ,  $|\eta_i|$ ,  $\phi_i \equiv \arg(\eta_i)$ 

### Neutral kaon interferometry: main observables









## **T, CP and CPT violation parameters for neutral kaons**

$$\begin{split} |\Psi\rangle &= a(t)|K^{0}\rangle + b(t)|\overline{K}^{0}\rangle \qquad i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}|\Psi\rangle = \mathbf{H}|\Psi\rangle \qquad \mathbf{H} = \mathbf{M} - \frac{i}{2}\Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} m_{11} & m_{12} \\ m_{21} & m_{22} \end{pmatrix} - \frac{i}{2}\begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_{11} & \Gamma_{12} \\ \Gamma_{21} & \Gamma_{22} \end{pmatrix} \\ |K_{S,L}\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(1+|\varepsilon_{S,L}|^{2})}} \Big[(1+\varepsilon_{S,L})|K^{0}\rangle \pm (1-\varepsilon_{S,L})|\overline{K}^{0}\rangle \Big] \qquad \lambda_{S} = m_{S} - \frac{i}{2}\Gamma_{S} \quad , \quad \lambda_{L} = m_{L} - \frac{i}{2}\Gamma_{L} \\ |K_{S,L}(t)\rangle = e^{-i\lambda_{S,L}t}|K_{S,L}(0)\rangle \end{split}$$

T, CP and CPT violation parameters:

$$\mathbf{T \text{ viol.}} \quad \varepsilon = \frac{H_{12} - H_{21}}{2(\lambda_S - \lambda_L)} = \frac{-i\Im m_{12} - \Im\Gamma_{12}/2}{\Delta m + i\Delta\Gamma/2}$$

$$\mathbf{CP \text{ viol.}} \quad \varepsilon_{S,L} = \varepsilon \pm \delta$$

$$\mathbf{CPT \text{ viol.}} \quad \delta = \frac{H_{11} - H_{22}}{2(\lambda_S - \lambda_L)} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{(m_{22} - m_{11}) - (i/2)(\Gamma_{22} - \Gamma_{11})}{\Delta m + i\Delta\Gamma/2}$$

$$|\varepsilon| \approx 2.232 \times 10^{-3}$$

$$\mathbf{CPT \text{ violation:}} \quad |\delta| < \sim 10^{-4} \quad \Leftrightarrow \left| m_{K^0} - m_{\overline{K}^0} \right| / m_K < 10^{-18}$$