### The Qweak Experiment: First Determination of the Weak Charge of the Proton Mark Pitt, Virginia Tech for the Qweak Collaboration

#### MESON 2014:

13th International Workshop on Meson Production, Properties and Interaction

> KRAKÓW, POLAND 29 May – 3 June 2014

Qweak uses parity-violating elastic electron-proton scattering to measure the proton's neutral weak charge at Jefferson Lab

- Precision Standard Model test
- tests "running of  $\sin^2\theta_W$ " from  $M^2_Z$  to low  $Q^2$
- sensitive to new TeV scale physics











## Outline

- Motivation and formalism
- Experiment: technical challenges and achievements
- First measurement of proton's weak charge results and implications
- Status of analysis towards final precision result

## Outline

- Motivation and formalism
- Experiment: technical challenges and achievements
- First measurement of proton's weak charge results and implications
- Status of analysis towards final precision result

#### **Proton's Neutral Weak Charge**

The Standard Model prescribes the couplings of the fundamental particles to each other  $\rightarrow 0^{\gamma} 0^{Z}$ 

$$u + 2/3 = 1 - 8/3 \sin^2 \theta_W$$
  
 $d - 1/3 = -1 + 4/3 \sin^2 \theta_W$ 

 $\sin^2 \theta_W \rightarrow$ "weak mixing angle"

Electromagnetic force  $\rightarrow$  proton's electric charge  $Q^{p} = 2\left(+\frac{2}{3}\right) + 1\left(-\frac{2}{3}\right) = +1$ 

Weak force  $\rightarrow$  proton's neutral weak charge -  $Q_{weak}$   $Q_{weak}^{p} = 2(1-8/3\sin^{2}\theta_{W}) + 1(-1+4/3\sin^{2}\theta_{W})$   $= 1-4\sin^{2}\theta_{W} \sim 0.07$   $\rightarrow$  Accidental suppression sensitivity to new physics



MESON 2014

#### **The Hunt for New Physics**

 $Z^0$ 

**MESON 2014** 

Two complementary approaches to searching for "New Physics"

#### "Energy frontier"

- like LHC Large Hadron Collider
- → Make new particles ("X") directly in high energy collisions

#### "Precision frontier"

- examples: Qweak at JLab,  $\mu$ (g-2), EDM,  $\beta\beta$  decay, n  $\beta$  decay, etc.

→ Look for indirect effect of new particles ("X") made virtually in low energy processes



Х

p





### **The Q**weak **Experiment: The Essentials**

#### Elastic scattering of longitudinally polarized electrons on protons $\overrightarrow{e} + p \rightarrow e^- + p$

(elastic) scattered  $e^{-}$  at small angle



proportional to the proton's weak charge ("Qweak")

Asymmetry measured with precision of ~2% (5 ppb)  $\rightarrow$  sensitive Standard Model test  $\rightarrow$  probe for certain types of "New Physics"

#### **Parity-Violating Asymmetry –Accessing the Neutral Weak Sector** Qweak experiment :

exploit the interference between EM and weak interactions



#### **Parity-Violating Asymmetry for the Q**weak **Experiment**





The Qweak experiment at JLAB determines the proton's weak charge by measuring the parityviolating asymmetry in elastic scattering of longitudinally polarized electrons on proton.

$$A_{\rm PV} = \frac{2M_{\rm NC}}{M_{\rm EM}} = \left[\frac{-G_{\rm F}Q^2}{4\sqrt{2}\pi\alpha}\right] \left[\frac{\varepsilon G_{\rm E}^{\gamma}G_{\rm E}^{\rm Z} + \tau G_{\rm M}^{\gamma}G_{\rm M}^{\rm Z} - (1 - 4\sin^2\theta_{\rm W})\varepsilon'G_{\rm M}^{\gamma}G_{\rm A}^{\rm Z}}{\varepsilon(G_{\rm E}^{\gamma})^2 + \tau(G_{\rm M}^{\gamma})^2}\right]$$

At forward scattering angles and low 4-momentum transfer:



#### **Energy Dependent Electroweak Radiative Corrections**

→ For useful Standard Model test all electroweak radiative corrections need to be under good theoretical control

Most significant radiative correction: γ-Z Box Diagram



#### Standard Model Test – "Running of $\sin^2 \theta_w$ "

Full expression for proton's weak charge with all radiative corrections:

$$Q_W^p = \left[1 + \Delta \rho + \Delta_e\right] \left[ \left(1 - 4\sin^2\theta_W(0)\right) + \Delta_{e'} \right] + \Box_{WW} + \Box_{ZZ} + \Box_{\gamma Z}$$

Correct for all calculated electroweak radiative corrections Extract  $\sin^2\theta_w$  for comparison with other probes



#### **Sensitivity to New Physics at TeV Scales**

Parameterize new physics with a new contact interaction in the Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm NP}^{\rm PV} = -\frac{g^2}{4\Lambda^2} \bar{e} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_5 e \sum_{\alpha} h_V^q \bar{q} \gamma^{\mu} q$$

Arbitrary quark flavor dependence of new physics:

$$h_V^u = \cos \theta_h \qquad h_V^d = \sin \theta_h$$

Qweak constrains new PV physics to beyond 2 TeV Young, Carlini, Thomas & Roche, PRL 99, 122003 (2007)



g=coupling

 $\Lambda$ =mass scale

**Possible New Physics Scenarios:** 



#### **New Physics Scenarios – A Recent Example**

"Dark photon" – possible portal for new force to communicate with SM

- Astrophysical motivation: observed excess in positron data
- Could explain muon g-2 anomaly

"Dark parity violation"

(Davoudiasl, Lee, Marciano, arXiv 1402.3620)



- Introduces a new source of low energy parity violation through mass mixing between Z and Z<sub>d</sub> with observable consequences
- Complementary to direct searches for heavy dark photons



## Outline

- Motivation and formalism
- Experiment: technical challenges and achievements
- First measurement of proton's weak charge results and implications
- Status of analysis towards final precision result

## Parity-Violating Electron Scattering Experiments – A Brief History



#### **Qweak Experimental Apparatus**



#### **Qweak Experimental Apparatus**



#### **Qweak During Installation**



#### **Parity-Violating Electron Scattering Method**

How do we take the bulk of our data? Pretty simple actually...

- Integrate the light signal in the Cerenkov detectors, sum them, and record the value every 1 msec
- "Normalize" the integrated signal (S) to the amount of charge (Q) in the beam

$$Y = \frac{S}{Q}$$

• Flip the electron beam helicity and form the asymmetry from four adjacent data samples:

$$A_{PV} = \frac{Y^{+} - Y^{-}}{Y^{+} + Y^{-}}$$

• Repeat 2 billion times! (2200 hours of datataking) to get desired statistical error





### **Qweak Technical Challenges**

 $A_{ep} = \frac{A_{meas} - A_{false}}{P_{harr}} - f_{back} A_{back} \quad (\text{for } f_{back} << 1)$ 

Statistics on  $A_{meas}$ 

- Small counting statistics error requires →
  - reliable high polarization, high current polarized source
  - high power cyrogenic LH<sub>2</sub> targets
  - large acceptance high count rate detectors/electronics

while minimizing contributions of random noise from

- target density fluctuations
- electronics noise (in integrating mode)

Systematics:

- Minimize helicity-correlated beam properties ( $A_{false}$ )
- Capability to isolate elastic scattering from other background processes (dilution factor  $f_{back}$ , background asymmetry  $A_{back}$ )
- High precision electron beam polarimetry ( $P_{beam}$ )
- Precision Q<sup>2</sup> determination ( $A_{ep} \propto Q^2$ )

#### Liquid Hydrogen Target

- World's highest power cryotarget ~ 3 kW
- Used computational fluid dynamics (CFD)
- Designed to minimize contribution to random noise from target density fluctuations – "boiling"

#### Achieved! 46 ppm < 236 ppm counting statistics noise





#### **Qweak Main Detector**

- Main detector: Large array of eight Cerenkov radiator bars (each 200 x 18 x 1.25 cm<sup>3</sup>)
- artificial fused silica for UV transmission, polished to 25 Angstroms (rms)
- Spectrosil 2000: rad-hard, non-scintillating, low-luminescence
- Two 5" PMTs per bar, S20 cathodes for high light levels
- Yield 100 pe's/track with 2 cm Pb pre-radiators







### **Precision Polarimetry**

#### Qweak requires $\Delta P/P \le 1\%$

#### Strategy: use 2 independent polarimeters

- Use existing <1% Hall C Møller polarimeter:
  - Low beam currents, invasive
  - Known analyzing power provided by polarized "saturated" Fe foil in a 3.5 T field.
- Compton (photon & electron) polarimeter (1%/h)
  - Continuous, non-invasive
  - Known analyzing power provided by circularly-polarized laser





#### **Aluminum Window Background**

Large A (asymmetry) & *f* (fraction) make this our largest correction. Determined from explicit measurements using Al dummy targets & empty H<sub>2</sub> cell.

(from published Run 0 result)  

$$C_{
m Al} = -64 \pm 10 ~
m ppb$$
  
 $A_{
m Al} = 1.76 \pm 0.26 ~
m ppm$ 

$$f_{\rm Al} = 3.23 \pm 0.24 ~\%$$

- Dilution from windows measured with empty target (actual target cell windows).
- Corrected for effect of H<sub>2</sub> using simulation and data driven models of elastic and quasi-elastic scattering.



from scaling.

$$A_{PV}\binom{N}{Z}X) = -\frac{Q^2 G_F}{4\pi\alpha\sqrt{2}} \left[Q_W^p + \left(\frac{N}{Z}\right)Q_W^n\right]$$





#### False Asymmetry Corrections – Beam Related

Parity-violating electron scattering - the whole accelerator is part of the experiment!







CEBAF Linear Accelerators



Qweak in Hall C

 $A_{corr} = \sum_{i=1}^{5} \left( \frac{\partial A}{\partial x_i} \right) \Delta x_i$ (x, x', y, y', E)

Helicity-correlated beam parameters

(position, size, energy):

- Controlled by careful laser setup at polarized source (kept to < few nm in Hall C)</li>
- Corrected for by measuring parameter differences and sensitivity slopes with 2 techniques: natural and driven beam motion 5/30/14 MESON 2014



## Outline

- Motivation and formalism
- Experiment: technical challenges and achievements
- First measurement of proton's weak charge results and implications
- Status of analysis towards final precision result

#### **Brief History of Qweak**

Brief timeline of Qweak

- Proposal 2001
- Design/construction 2003 2010
- Data-taking 2010 2012
- Finished in May 2012 when Jlab "6 GeV Era" ended



May 18,2012: JLAB Director Hugh Montgomery "pulls the plug" on the "6 GeV Era"

### **Brief History of Qweak**

Brief timeline of Qweak

- Proposal 2001
- Design/construction 2003 2010
- Data-taking 2010 2012
- Finished in May 2012 when Jlab "6 GeV Era" ended



May 18,2012: JLAB Director Hugh Montgomery "pulls the plug" on the "6 GeV Era"



"and then the rains came" – Great Hall C flood – Aug. 25, 2012

May 2014: Jefferson Lab has begun 12 GeV commissioning; first physics beams in fall 2014

#### **Qweak Data Taking Periods and First Results**

Qweak had ~ 1 calendar year of beam split into 3 running periods Each period had its own "blinding factor" to avoid analysis bias:

- Run 0: January February 2011
- Run 1: February May 2011
- Run 2: November 2011 May 2012

#### Run 0 results (about 1/25 of data set) published in PRL in Oct. 2013

PRL 111, 141803 (2013)

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS

week ending 4 OCTOBER 2013

Ş

#### First Determination of the Weak Charge of the Proton

D. Androic,<sup>1</sup> D. S. Armstrong,<sup>2</sup> A. Asaturyan,<sup>3</sup> T. Averett,<sup>2</sup> J. Balewski,<sup>4</sup> J. Beaufait,<sup>5</sup> R. S. Beminiwattha,<sup>6</sup> J. Benesch,<sup>5</sup> F. Benmokhtar,<sup>7</sup> J. Birchall,<sup>8</sup> R. D. Carlini,<sup>5,2,†</sup> G. D. Cates,<sup>9</sup> J. C. Cornejo,<sup>2</sup> S. Covrig,<sup>5</sup> M. M. Dalton,<sup>9</sup> C. A. Davis,<sup>10</sup> W. Deconinck,<sup>2</sup> J. Diefenbach,<sup>11</sup> J. F. Dowd,<sup>2</sup> J. A. Dunne,<sup>12</sup> D. Dutta,<sup>12</sup> W. S. Duvall,<sup>13</sup> M. Elaasar,<sup>14</sup> W. R. Falk,<sup>8</sup> J. M. Finn,<sup>2,\*</sup> T. Forest,<sup>15,16</sup> D. Gaskell,<sup>5</sup> M. T. W. Gericke,<sup>8</sup> J. Grames,<sup>5</sup> V. M. Gray,<sup>2</sup> K. Grimm,<sup>16,2</sup> F. Guo,<sup>4</sup> J. R. Hoskins,<sup>2</sup> K. Johnston,<sup>16</sup> D. Jones,<sup>9</sup> M. Jones,<sup>5</sup> R. Jones,<sup>17</sup> M. Kargiantoulakis,<sup>9</sup> P. M. King,<sup>6</sup> E. Korkmaz,<sup>18</sup> S. Kowalski,<sup>4</sup> J. Leacock,<sup>13</sup> J. Leckey,<sup>2,‡</sup> A. R. Lee,<sup>13</sup> J. H. Lee,<sup>6,2,§</sup> L. Lee,<sup>10,8</sup> S. MacEwan,<sup>8</sup> D. Mack,<sup>5</sup> J. A. Magee,<sup>2</sup> R. Mahurin,<sup>8</sup> J. Mammei,<sup>13,||</sup> J. W. Martin,<sup>19</sup> M. J. McHugh,<sup>20</sup> D. Meekins,<sup>5</sup> J. Mei,<sup>5</sup> R. Michaels,<sup>5</sup> A. Micherdzinska,<sup>20</sup> A. Mkrtchyan,<sup>3</sup> H. Mkrtchyan,<sup>3</sup> N. Morgan,<sup>13</sup> K. E. Myers,<sup>20,¶</sup> A. Narayan,<sup>12</sup> L. Z. Ndukum,<sup>12</sup> V. Nelyubin,<sup>9</sup> Nuruzzaman,<sup>11,12</sup> W. T. H. van Oers,<sup>10,8</sup> A. K. Opper,<sup>20</sup> S. A. Page,<sup>8</sup> J. Pan,<sup>8</sup> K. D. Paschke,<sup>9</sup> S. K. Phillips,<sup>21</sup> M. L. Pitt,<sup>13</sup> M. Poelker,<sup>5</sup> J. F. Rajotte,<sup>4</sup> W. D. Ramsay,<sup>10,8</sup> J. Roche,<sup>6</sup> B. Sawatzky,<sup>5</sup> T. Seva,<sup>1</sup> M. H. Shabestari,<sup>12</sup> R. Silwal,<sup>9</sup> N. Simicevic,<sup>16</sup> G. R. Smith,<sup>5</sup> P. Solvignon,<sup>5</sup> D. T. Spayde,<sup>22</sup> A. Subedi,<sup>12</sup> R. Subedi,<sup>20</sup> R. Suleiman,<sup>5</sup> V. Tadevosyan,<sup>3</sup> W. A. Tobias,<sup>9</sup> V. Tvaskis,<sup>19,8</sup> B. Waidyawansa,<sup>6</sup> P. Wang,<sup>8</sup> S. P. Wells,<sup>16</sup> S. A. Wood,<sup>5</sup> S. Yang,<sup>2</sup> R. D. Young,<sup>23</sup> and S. Zhamkochyan<sup>3</sup>

**Extraction of Qweak from e-p Asymmetry** Run 0 Results (1/25<sup>th</sup> of total dataset) – published in PRL **111**, 141803 (2013)  $\langle Q^2 \rangle = 0.0250 \; (\text{GeV} / c)^2$  $A_{ep} = -279 \pm 35(\text{stat}) \pm 31(\text{syst}) \text{ ppb}$  at Data Rotated to the Forward-Angle Limit This Experiment 0 0.05 0.1 0.15 0.2 0.25 0.3 0 HAPPEX 0 Ш 0.4SAMPLE • G0  $A_{ep}/A_0 = Q_w^p + Q^2 B(Q^2, \theta)$ PVA4 HAPPEX -1 G0 × SAMPLE SM (prediction) Qweak Asymmetry [ppm] PVA4 0.3 Q-weak Qweak (4% of data*,* 0.2 3 days@100%) 0.1 -6 -7 0 0.0 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.1 -8 Q<sup>2</sup> [(GeV/c)<sup>2</sup>]  $Q^2 [\text{GeV/c}]^2$ 

Global fit of world PVES data up to Q<sup>2</sup> = 0.63 GeV<sup>2</sup> is done to extract the proton's weak charge  $\Gamma - G_F Q^2$ 

$$A_{ep}/A_0 = Q_W^p + Q^2 B(Q^2, \theta), \qquad A_0 = \left\lfloor \frac{\sigma_F Q}{4\pi\alpha\sqrt{2}} \right\rfloor$$

$$Q_W^p(\text{PVES}) = 0.064 \pm 0.012$$
  $Q_W^p(\text{SM}) = 0.0710 \pm 0.000^{\circ}$ 

First determination of proton's weak charge in good agreement with Standard Model

#### **Quark Vector Coupling Constants**

$$\mathbf{L}_{\text{e-q}}^{\text{PV}} = -\frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{e} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_5 e \sum_q C_{1q} \bar{q} \gamma^{\mu} q \qquad \mathbf{Q}_W^{p} = -2(2C_{1u} + C_{1d})$$



Improved precision on quark coupling constants

$$C_{1u} = -0.1835 \pm 0.0054$$

$$C_{1d} = 0.3355 \pm 0.0050$$

Combining this result with the most precise atomic parity violation experiment we can also extract, for the first time, the neutron's weak charge:

 $Q_W^n$ (PVES+APV) = -0.975 ± 0.010  $Q_W^n$ (SM) = -0.9890 ± 0.0007

Α

 $C_{1i} \equiv 2g_A^e g_V^i$ 

#### **Running of Weak Mixing Angle**

Using calculated electroweak radiative corrections can extract mixing angle for comparison with other observables:

$$Q_W^p = \left[1 + \Delta \rho + \Delta_e\right] \left[ \left(1 - 4\sin^2\theta_W(0)\right) + \Delta_{e'} \right] + \Box_{WW} + \Box_{ZZ} + \Box_{\gamma Z}$$



## Outline

- Motivation and formalism
- Experiment: technical challenges and achievements
- First measurement of proton's weak charge results and implications
- Status of analysis towards final precision result

#### **Status of Analysis of Full Qweak Dataset**

Data analysis in progress for the full dataset

Example: Status of corrections for helicity-correlated beam parameters

(sensitivity of detector asymmetries to beam position variations)  $A_{corr} = \sum_{i=1}^{5} \left( \frac{\partial A}{\partial x_i} \right) \Delta x_i$ 

- "Regression": Natural jitter of beam parameters
- "Dithering": Occasional "large" driven variation of each beam parameter
- Corrections based on the two methods are in excellent agreement for this subset of our data



#### **Run2 measured asymmetry**

(x,x',y,y',E)

#### Conclusions

First published result from the Qweak experiment

PRL 111,141803 (2013) - based on just 4% of data taken

$$A_{ep} = -279 \pm 35(\text{stat}) \pm 31(\text{syst}) \text{ ppb}$$
  $\langle Q^2 \rangle = 0.0250 (\text{GeV}/c)^2$ 

First determination of proton and neutron weak charges:

 $Q_W^p(\text{PVES}) = 0.064 \pm 0.012$   $Q_W^n(\text{PVES}+\text{APV}) = -0.975 \pm 0.010$  $Q_W^p(\text{SM}) = 0.0710 \pm 0.0007$   $Q_W^n(\text{SM}) = -0.9890 \pm 0.0007$ 

In good agreement with Standard Model predictions

Final result using full dataset expected ~ 1 year from now

- Statistical error 5 times smaller, reduced systematics, no show stoppers found in analysis so far
- Additionally, many ancillary results under analysis

## The Qweak Collaboration



#### 97 collaborators 23 grad students 10 post docs 23 institutions

#### Institutions:

- <sup>1</sup> University of Zagreb
- <sup>2</sup> College of William and Mary <sup>3</sup> A. I. Alikhanyan National Science Laboratory <sup>4</sup> Massachusetts Institute of Technology <sup>5</sup> Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility <sup>6</sup> Ohio University <sup>7</sup> Christopher Newport University <sup>8</sup> University of Manitoba, <sup>9</sup> University of Virginia <sup>10</sup> TRIUMF <sup>11</sup> Hampton University <sup>12</sup> Mississippi State University 13 Virginia Polytechnic Institute & State Univ <sup>14</sup> Southern University at New Orleans <sup>15</sup> Idaho State University <sup>16</sup> Louisiana Tech University <sup>17</sup> University of Connecticut <sup>18</sup> University of Northern British Columbia <sup>19</sup> University of Winnipeg <sup>20</sup> George Washington University <sup>21</sup> University of New Hampshire <sup>22</sup> Hendrix College, Conway <sup>23</sup> University of Adelaide

D. Androic,<sup>1</sup> D.S. Armstrong,<sup>2</sup> A. Asaturyan,<sup>3</sup> T. Averett,<sup>2</sup> J. Balewski,<sup>4</sup> J. Beaufait,<sup>5</sup> R.S. Beminiwattha,<sup>6</sup> J. Benesch,<sup>5</sup> F. Benmokhtar,<sup>7</sup> J. Birchall,<sup>8</sup> R.D. Carlini,<sup>5, 2</sup> G.D. Cates,<sup>9</sup> J.C. Cornejo,<sup>2</sup> S. Covrig,<sup>5</sup> M.M. Dalton,<sup>9</sup> C.A. Davis,<sup>10</sup> W. Deconinck,<sup>2</sup> J. Diefenbach,<sup>11</sup> J.F. Dowd,<sup>2</sup> J.A. Dunne,<sup>12</sup> D. Dutta,<sup>12</sup> W.S. Duvall,<sup>13</sup> M. Elaasar,<sup>14</sup> W.R. Falk,<sup>8</sup> J.M. Finn,<sup>2</sup>, T. Forest,<sup>15, 16</sup> D. Gaskell,<sup>5</sup> M.T.W. Gericke,<sup>8</sup> J. Grames,<sup>5</sup> V.M. Gray,<sup>2</sup> K. Grimm,<sup>16, 2</sup> F. Guo,<sup>4</sup> J.R. Hoskins,<sup>2</sup> K. Johnston,<sup>16</sup> D. Jones,<sup>9</sup> M. Jones,<sup>5</sup> R. Jones,<sup>17</sup> M. Kargiantoulakis,<sup>9</sup> P.M. King,<sup>6</sup> E. Korkmaz,<sup>18</sup> S. Kowalski,<sup>4</sup> J. Leacock,<sup>13</sup> J. Leckey,<sup>2</sup>, A.R. Lee,<sup>13</sup> J.H. Lee,<sup>6, 2,</sup> L. Lee,<sup>10</sup> S. MacEwan,<sup>8</sup> D. Mack,<sup>5</sup> J.A. Magee,<sup>2</sup> R. Mahurin,<sup>8</sup> J. Mammei,<sup>13,</sup> J.W. Martin,<sup>19</sup> M.J. McHugh,<sup>20</sup> D. Meekins,<sup>5</sup> J. Mei,<sup>5</sup> R. Michaels,<sup>5</sup> A. Micherdzinska,<sup>20</sup> A. Mkrtchyan,<sup>3</sup> H. Mkrtchyan,<sup>3</sup> N. Morgan,<sup>13</sup> K.E. Myers,<sup>20</sup> A. Narayan,<sup>12</sup> L.Z. Ndukum,<sup>12</sup> V. Nelyubin,<sup>9</sup> Nuruzzaman,<sup>11, 12</sup> W.T.H van Oers,<sup>10, 8</sup> A.K. Opper,<sup>20</sup> S.A. Page,<sup>8</sup> J. Pan,<sup>8</sup> K.D. Paschke,<sup>9</sup> S.K. Phillips,<sup>21</sup> M.L. Pitt,<sup>13</sup> M. Poelker,<sup>5</sup> J.F. Rajotte,<sup>4</sup> W.D. Ramsay,<sup>10, 8</sup> J. Roche,<sup>6</sup> B. Sawatzky,<sup>5</sup> T. Seva,<sup>1</sup> M.H. Shabestari,<sup>12</sup> R. Silwal,<sup>9</sup> N. Simicevic,<sup>16</sup> G.R. Smith,<sup>5</sup> P. Solvignon,<sup>5</sup> D.T. Spayde,<sup>22</sup> A. Subedi,<sup>12</sup> R. Subedi,<sup>20</sup> R. Suleiman,<sup>5</sup> V. Tadevosyan,<sup>3</sup> W.A. Tobias,<sup>9</sup> V. Tvaskis,<sup>19, 8</sup> B. Waidyawansa,<sup>6</sup> P. Wang,<sup>8</sup> S.P. Wells,<sup>16</sup>S.A. Wood,<sup>5</sup> S. Yang,<sup>2</sup> R.D. Young,<sup>23</sup> and S. Zhamkochyan <sup>3</sup>

# Backups

#### **Ancillary Measurements**

Qweak made several ancillary measurements to determine and constrain background processes and corrections –

many will result in physics publications

- PV asymmetry:
  - elastic <sup>27</sup>Al
  - N → ∆ (E = 1.16 GeV, 0.877 GeV)
  - Near W = 2.5 GeV (related to γZ box)
  - Pion photoproduction (E = 3.3 GeV)

- PC Transverse asymmetry:
  - elastic ep
  - elastic <sup>27</sup>Al, Carbon
  - $N \rightarrow \Delta$
  - Møller
  - Near W = 2.5 GeV
  - Pion photoproduction (E = 3.3 GeV)