



# Coherent photo-production of $\rho^0$ mesons in ultra-peripheral Pb+Pb collisions at the LHC, measured by ALICE

#### Christoph Mayer for the ALICE Collaboration

Henryk Niewodniczański Institute of Nuclear Physics Polish Academy of Sciences (PAN) 31-342 Kraków

13th International Workshop on Meson Production, Properties and Interaction Kraków, Poland

### Overview



Two main topics:

- Coherent photo-production of  $\rho^0$  in Pb-Pb
  - $\blacktriangleright$  There are several model predictions varying by a factor  $\approx 2$
  - Nuclear breakup in coincidence with photo-production
- Two-photon production of electron pairs in Pb-Pb
  - QED process
  - Measurements can constrain higher-order corrections

### Ultra-peripheral Pb-Pb Collisions Motivation



- Ultra-peripheral collisions (UPC): impact parameter  $b > 2R_A$ 
  - $\longrightarrow$  hadronic interactions are strongly suppressed
  - $\longrightarrow$  electro-magnetic interactions
- UPC interactions:
  - ▶ Photon-Nucleus, *e.g.*, Pb+Pb  $\rightarrow$  Pb+Pb + J/ $\Psi$ ,  $\rho^0$
  - ▶ Photon-Photon, e.g.,  $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow e^+e^-$
- Strong electromagnetic field around lead ions: number of photons  $\sim Z^2$
- $\rho^0$ : photons *do not* see the color sub-structure of nucleons
  - disambiguation between models with different cross section predictions
- $\gamma\gamma$ : can constrain the size of perturbative higher order corrections

### Ultra-peripheral Pb-Pb Collisions

**Exclusive Vector Meson Production** 

- Coherent
  - Photon couples coherently to all the nucleons
  - $\langle p_T \rangle \sim$  60 MeV/*c*
  - target nucleus normally<sup>a</sup> does not break up
- Incoherent
  - Photon couples to a part of the nucleus
  - $\langle p_T \rangle \sim 500 \text{ MeV}/c$
  - target nucleus normally<sup>a</sup> does break up





<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>*a*</sup>in  $\approx$  80% of the events

# There are several models for $\rho^0$ photo-production in Pb-Pb at the LHC:

- Frankfurt, Strikman, Zhalov (GDL). Phys. Lett. B 537 (2002) 51; Phys. Rev. C67 (2003) 034901; Phys. Lett. B710 (2012) 647.
- Gonçalves, Machado (GM). Phys. Rev. C84 (2011) 011902.
- Klein, Nystrand (STARLIGHT), Phys. Rev. C60 (1999) 014903, http://starlight.hepforge.org/.
- Szczurek et al.: work in progress

 $\rho^0$  photo-production in Pb-Pb **Motivation** 





### The ALICE Detector



**Data Selection** 

Data Sample: 2010 Pb-Pb, 2.76 TeV,  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{int.}} \sim 0.2 \ \mu b^{-1}$ 

#### Trigger:

- Veto in VZERO-C (2.8 < η < 5.1)</p>
- Veto in VZERO-A (-3.7 <  $\eta$  < -1.7)
- $N \ge 2$  hits in SPD
- $N \ge 2$  fired trigger pads in TOF

### Offline Event Selection:

- Vertex: |v<sub>z</sub>| < 10 cm</p>
- Two good tracks, Pion PID using TPC dE/dx
- Kinematic Cuts:
  - pion pair-rapidity  $|y(\pi^+\pi^-)| < 0.5$
  - pair- $p_T < 0.15$  (coherent)
- Opposite-sign events: signal, Like-sign events: background







ALICE UPC  $\rho^0$ 

#### 





 $-t \approx p_T^2$  distribution for photonuclear  $\rho^0$  production at RHIC. Note: The default STARLIGHT  $R_{Au} = 6.38$  fm

(STAR collaboration *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* 389 (2012) 012042)

ALI-PREL-78388

- Data narrower than MC (same observation at RHIC)
- Experimental resolution has a negligible effect on the width (check: comparing MC generated and MC reconstructed)
- Acc×Eff is flat as function of  $p_T$  in the coherent range 0-0.15 GeV/c.
- Cross-check for incoh. contribution: fit of exp. functions to the  $p_T^2$  distribution

C. Mayer (IFJ)

#### ALICE UPC $\rho^0$

28.05.2014 8 / 20

# $\rho^0$ photo-production in Pb-Pb Acc. imes Eff. estimation



• We use a MC generator which is flat in invariant mass:

- flat  $M(\pi^+\pi^-) \in [2 \cdot m_\pi, 1.5 \text{ GeV/c}^2]$
- flat  $p_T(\pi^+\pi^-) \in [0, 0.15]$  GeV/c
- flat  $y(\pi^+\pi^-) \in [-0.5, 0.5]$
- $\frac{d\theta}{d\cos\theta} \sim \sin^2\theta$  (leading term for spin-1)
- The correction is performed for each bin in invariant mass
- Acc×eff has been cross-checked with STARLIGHT
- Sharp decrease of acc×eff below 0.8 GeV/c<sup>2</sup>: TOF trigger condition



ALICE UPC  $\rho^0$ 

### $\rho^0$ photo-production in Pb-Pb Shape of the minv spectrum

The acc.  $\times$  eff. corrected minv spectrum is fitted using

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}m_{\pi\pi}} = \left| \boldsymbol{A} \frac{\sqrt{m_{\pi\pi} M_{\rho^0} \Gamma(m_{\pi\pi})}}{m_{\pi\pi}^2 - M_{\rho^0}^2 + i M_{\rho^0} \Gamma(m_{\pi\pi})} + \boldsymbol{B} \right|^2$$

with minv-dependent width:

$$\Gamma(m_{\pi\pi}) = \Gamma_{\rho^0} \frac{M_{\rho^0}}{m_{\pi\pi}} \left( \frac{m_{\pi\pi}^2 - 4m_{\pi}^2}{M_{\rho^0}^2 - 4m_{\pi}^2} \right)^{3/2}$$

- A is the amplitude of the Breit-Wigner function
- B is the amplitude of the non-resonant continuum  $\pi^+\pi^-$  production

This formula was previously used by the STAR<sup>1</sup> and H1<sup>2</sup> collaborations



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>*Phys. Rev.* C77 (2008) 034910

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Nucl. Phys. B436 (1996) 3

Diff. cross section w.r.t. invariant mass





Cross section obtained by integrating the resonant contribution over  $[2m_{\pi}, M_{\rho^0} + 5\Gamma_{\rho^0}]$ . Same range in  $M_{\rho^0}$  as used by STAR and ZEUS.

 $\left. \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma(\rho^0)^{\mathrm{coh.}}}{\mathrm{d}y} \right|_{|y|<0.5} = \left( 420 \pm 10(\mathrm{stat.})^{+39}_{-55}(\mathrm{sys.}) \right) \, \mathrm{mb}$ 

**GDL** – Proper QM Glauber calculation for scaling  $\sigma(\gamma p) \rightarrow \sigma(\gamma A)$ , uses Donnachie-Landshoff model for  $\sigma(\gamma p)$ 

**GM** – Based in the color dipole model with saturation implemented by the Color Glass Condensate formalism

**STARLIGHT** – Scales the exp. measured  $\gamma p$  cross section using a Glauber model, neglecting the elastic nuclear cross section





# $\rho^0$ photo-production in Pb-Pb Comparison to Models



The total cross section is obtained by integrating over all rapidities:

 $\sigma(\rho^0)^{\text{coh.}} = \left(4.3 \pm 0.1(\text{stat.})^{+0.6}_{-0.5}(\text{sys.})\right) \text{ b}$ 

- Enables comparison with STAR results
- Additional sys. error from the shape of *dσ/dy*: difference between GM and STARLIGHT models
- STAR Collaboration Data: Phys. Rev. Lett. 89 (2002) 272302; Phys. Rev. C77 (2008) 034910; Phys. Rev. C85 (2012) 014910.



C. Mayer (IFJ)

Nuclear Breakup



- The strong fields associated with heavy-ions lead to large probabilities for exchanging additional photons when a ρ<sup>0</sup> is produced at small impact parameters.
- These photons will excite one or both of the nuclei (typically GDR excitations) and lead to break up<sup>3</sup>
- The ALICE zero-degree calorimeters (ZDC) can measure single neutron emission:



<sup>3</sup>Baltz, Klein, and Nystrand, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89 (2002) 012301

C. Mayer (IFJ)

ALICE UPC  $\rho$ 

28.05.2014 14 / 20

Nuclear Breakup - comparison with models

#### Breakup modes:

0n0n	No break up
Xn	One or both nuclei break up
0nXn	One of the nuclei breaks up
XnXn	Both nuclei do break up



#### ALICE measurement:

#### The neutrons are detected in the ZDCs

All events	7293		STARLIGHT	RSZ
0N0N	6175	$(84.7 \pm 0.4(\text{stat})^{+0.4}_{-1.9}(\text{sys}))\%$	<b>79% (</b> -2.9 <i>σ</i> )	<b>84%</b> (−0.4 <i>σ</i> )
XN	1174	$(16.1 \pm 0.4(\text{stat})^{+2.2}_{-0.5}(\text{sys}))\%$	21% (+2.2 $\sigma$ )	16% ( $-0.2\sigma$ )
0NXN	958	$(13.1 \pm 0.4(\text{stat})^{+0.9}_{-0.3}(\text{sys}))\%$	16% (+2.9 $\sigma$ )	12% ( $-2.2\sigma$ )
XNXN	231	$(3.2 \pm 0.2(\text{stat})^{+0.4}_{-0.1}(\text{sys})))\%$	<b>5.2%</b> (+4.5 <i>σ</i> )	<b>3</b> .7% (+1.1 <i>σ</i> )

STARLIGHT: Baltz, Klein, Nystrand, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 89 (2002) 012301. RSZ: Rebyakova, Strikman, Zhalov, *Phys. Lett.* B710 (2012) 647.

C. Mayer (IFJ)

#### ALICE UPC $\rho$



### Two-photon production of electron pair in Pb-Pb ALICE 2011 data





Topology cut in trigger:  $M_{e^+e^-} > 2.2 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ 



### ALICE Collaboration, EPJC 73 (2013) 2617 (Central Barrel).

C. Mayer (IFJ)

ALICE UPC  $\rho$ 

28.05.2014 16 / 20

### Two-photon production of electron pair in Pb-Pb ALICE 2010 data



With 2010 data this range can be extended down to 0.6  $\text{GeV}/c^2$ :

And the results can be combined to cover the range  $M_{e^+e^-} \in [0.6, 10] \text{ GeV}/c^2$ :



### Two-photon production of electron pair in Pb-Pb ALICE EPJC 73 (2013) 2617



- γγ → e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> STABI IGHT

√s,,,, = 2.76 TeV





ALI-PREL-69133

- The transverse-momentum distribution is well -described by the STARLIGHT Monte-Carlo simulation for  $0.6 \leq M_{e^+e^-} < 10.0 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ .
  - Left: 2010 Pb-Pb data
  - Right: 2011 Pb-Pb data (EPJC paper)
- Broadening of pair- $p_T$  with increasing  $M_{e^+e^-}$

0.2 dN/dp<sub>T</sub> (h<sub>1,2</sub>| < 0.9, e<sup>+</sup>e p, (ĞeV/č) √s<sub>NN</sub> = 2.76 TeV (a.u. ALICE PbPb  $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow e^+e^-$  STABLIGHT < 0.9, 3.7 dp/dp<sup>1</sup> (l<sup>1</sup>, <sup>2</sup> 0.4 e⁺e`p\_(GeV/ AT.T-PREL-47295

ALICE PbPb

### Conclusions



- ALICE has made the first measurement of coherent photo-production of  $\rho^0$  in Pb-Pb collisions at the LHC.
  - Cross section for ρ<sup>0</sup> is in agreement with STARLIGHT and GM, about a factor of 2 below GDL. Similar to what was observed at RHIC.
- Two photon cross section
  - ► Good agreement with STARLIGHT Monte Carlo (leading order QED).
  - Sets constraints on the contribution from higher-order terms ( $\sqrt{Z}$  coupling).
- Recent ALICE UPC results not shown here:
  - First measurement of coherent photo-production of Ψ(2S) in Pb-Pb collisions at the LHC.
  - Coherent photo-production of  $J/\Psi$  in p-Pb collisions  $\longrightarrow$  last slide

### Outlook: UPC J/ $\Psi$ measured in p-Pb collisions <sup>2013 data</sup>





- Measurement of J/Ψ production over a wide range in Bjorken-x is possible
- Kinematic range of HERA extended both to the lower and higher  $\gamma p$  energies
- Analyses for semi-forward and for mid-rapidity: work in progress

ALICE UPC  $\rho^0$ 

< 回 > < 回 > < 回 >