Dalitz Plot Analysis of $\eta' \rightarrow \eta \ \pi^+ \ \pi^-$

Sudeep Ghosh for the CLAS Collaboration 14th International Workshop on Meson Production, Properties and Interaction, KRAKOW, POLAND

Indian Institute of Technology Indore, India

June 3, 2016

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Plan of the talk

Motivation

Introduction

Experiment

Event Selection of γ p \rightarrow $\eta^{\prime}(\rightarrow$ η π^{+} $\pi^{-})$ p

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Peaking Background Channel contribution Subtraction of Background

Simulation

Comparison of Kinematic variables

Fit to the Dalitz Plot

Cross-check to the analysis

Summary

Motivation

- Highest statistics collected in the channel $\eta' \to \eta \ \pi^+ \ \pi^-$ by CLAS in comparison to other experiments reported so far.
- Dalitz plot(DP) provides pure kinematic information of a three body decay.
- DP helps to understand the correct input in theoretical distribution of the effective chiral Lagrangian.

• The decay channel has a low Q-value, thus it will help to study effective chiral perturbation theory at a low Q limit.

Three body decay of scalar meson



So, we can describe the 3-body state with two variables $d\Gamma = \frac{\text{with two variables}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{32M^3} |\mathcal{M}|^2 dm_{12}^2 dm_{23}^2$

The Dalitz Plot

- We define a 2-D scatter plot, with one variable on the x-axis, and one on the y-axis.
- The Dalitz variables for $\eta'{\rightarrow}\eta{+}\pi^{+}{+}\pi^{-}$ is defined as

$$X = rac{\sqrt{3}(T_{\pi^+} - T_{\pi^-})}{Q}, Y = rac{(m_\eta + 2m_\pi)}{m_\pi} \cdot rac{T_\eta}{Q} - 1,$$
 (1)

where T_i $(i = \pi^+, \pi^-, \eta)$ is kinetic energy of a given particle in the rest frame of η' and $Q = T_{\pi^+} + T_{\pi^-} + T_{\eta}$.

• The boundary of the decay is given by

$$|P_{\eta}^{2} - P_{\pi+}^{2} - P_{\pi-}^{2}| \le 2\vec{P}_{\pi+}.\vec{P}_{\pi-}$$
(2)

The Dalitz Plot Geometry



$$\frac{T_1 + T_2 + T_3}{Q} = 1$$
(3)
$$\rho(x, y) = \frac{1}{2J + 1} \sum_{m_j} |A(m_j)|^2$$
(4)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

g12 Experiment in Hall B at Jefferson Lab

- g12 Run : Run taken from March - June 2008, 26 x 10⁹ triggers recorded
- Beam : Bremsstrahlung process produces a real photon energy from 1.142 to 5.425 GeV
- Target : Unpolarised liquid hydrogen and its position was -90 cm from CLAS center



Fig : CLAS detector

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Event Selection of $\gamma \mathbf{p} \rightarrow \eta' (\rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-) \mathbf{p}$

- The presorted data with one p, one π^+ , one π^- and Xn number of neutral particles selected for analysis
- Beam Energy : 1.4553 to 3.2 GeV
- Kinematic Fitting : 1C fit to the missing mass of p, π^+ and π^- to be an η ie. Mx(p $\pi^+\pi^-$)=0.547 GeV is applied. All events with Prob < 1% are rejected.
- -0.85 < $\cos(\theta)_{cm}$ of $\eta' < 0.85$



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへで

In Peak contribution

- $\eta' \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$ decay generated with DP parameters a=-0.047, b=-0.069, c=0.019, d=-0.073 from BESIII[1]
 - Signal Channel : $\eta' \to (\eta)\pi^+\pi^-$ [42.9] $\to (\gamma\gamma)\pi^+\pi^-$ [72.90] [$BR_1 = \frac{(42.9*72.09)}{100} = 30.92$]
 - In Peak background Channel : $\eta' \rightarrow (\eta)\pi^+\pi^-$ [42.9]
 - $\rightarrow (\pi^{+}\pi^{-}\pi^{0})\pi^{+}\pi^{-}$ [27.14] $[BR_{2}=\frac{(42.9*27.14)}{100}=11.64]$
 - Secondary decay $\eta {\rightarrow} \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ are produced in phase space.
 - Channel produces combinatorics and has different acceptance to signal
- Background Channel : $\eta' \rightarrow (\eta)\pi^0\pi^0$ [22.2] $\rightarrow (\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)\pi^0\pi^0$ [27.14] [$BR_3 = \frac{(22.2*27.14)}{100} = 6.02$] is generated with DP parameters a=-0.067, b=-0.064, c=0.0, d=-0.067 from GAMP[2]
 - Secondary decay $\eta {\rightarrow} \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ are produced in phase space.
 - Channel produces in peak contribution

[1] M. Ablikim et al. [BESIII Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D 83, 012003 (2011) [2]A.M.Bliketal., "Measurement of the matrix element for the decay $\eta' \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 \pi^0$ with the GAMS-4 π spectrometer," Phys.Atom.Nucl.72, 231(2009)

Channel contribution

- Generated with input Bremsstrahlung beam and DP parameters.
- Normalised with differential cross section and branching ratio.



Subtraction of Background

- 15 \times 15 DP bins in X and Y
- The multi pionic background is subtracted with a polynomial of order 2
- Yield after non-resonant background subtraction is reduced by the "Percentage contribution of $\eta' \rightarrow (\eta)\pi^+\pi^- \rightarrow (\gamma\gamma)\pi^+\pi^-$ " DP bin
- So we have the not acceptance corrected DP



The bins with least and most number of events are shown in Fig. (Before reducing percentage contribution of vield)

Simulation

- Using Pluto 2 × 10⁷ events generated
 - Generated with input Bremsstrahlung beam and Differential Cross section information
 - Decay generated with input $\eta' \to \eta$ π^+ π^- DP parameters from BESIII measurement
- Simulation take care of all the cuts into account as in data
- 15 x 15 DP selected for analysis, the bin-width is 0.2 to both X and Y.
- Boundary bins of $\eta' \to \eta \ \pi^+$ π^- DP are rejected



▲ロ > ▲母 > ▲目 > ▲目 > ▲目 > ④ < ④ >

Comparison of the incident photon beam energy in center-of-mass



◆□ > ◆□ > ◆臣 > ◆臣 > ─ 臣 ─ のへで

Comparison of Momentum, θ and ϕ of Proton



◆□ > ◆□ > ◆臣 > ◆臣 > ○臣 - のへ(?)

Comparison of Momentum, θ and ϕ of π +



▲□ > ▲圖 > ▲ 臣 > ▲ 臣 > → 臣 = ∽ 의 < ⊙ < ⊙

Comparison of Momentum, θ and ϕ of $\pi\text{-}$



Fit to the Dalitz Plot

$$\chi^{2} = \sum_{n=1}^{Nbins} \left(\frac{N_{n} - \sum_{m=1}^{Nbins} \epsilon_{n,m} N_{theory,m}}{\sigma_{n}} \right)^{2}$$

• N_n is no. of $\eta' \to \eta \ \pi^+ \ \pi^-$ events in the n^{th} DP bin.

ϵ_{n,m} is acceptance with smearing matrix, ie. it gives acceptance of
 mth bin when events are generated in nth bin.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- $N_{theory,m} = \int_{Boundary} A(1 + aY + bY^2 + cX + dX^2) dX dY$
- σ_n is the error associated with n^{th} DP bin.

Cross check to the analysis



Reconstructed events inside the Dalitz plot the boundary.



Comparison of generated and reconstructed DP parameters.

Parameters	Gen BESIII	CLAS Reco
а	-0.047±0.012	-0.043±0.005
b	-0.069 ± 0.021	-0.075±0.010
с	$+0.019\pm0.012$	0.019 ± 0.006
d	-0.073±0.013	-0.079±0.009

Conclusion

We obtain the Generated input parameters from the Simulated events, which cross-checks our analysis procedure.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

Summary

Conclusion

We described the complete analysis and fitting technique in the talk, and obtained the proper input DP parameters from simulation to show a cross-check of the whole analysis procedure.

Future Plans

- Background subtraction in each bin of DP from data.
- Study the systematics.

Thank you

Email ID : sghosh@jlab.org