PWA method

Fit results

Conclusions

Backup slides

PWA with full rank density matrix of the $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^-$ and $\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0$ systems at VES setup

Igor Kachaev, Dmitry Ryabchikov for VES group, Protvino, Russia

Institute for High Energy Physics, Protvino. E-mail Igor.Katchaev@ihep.ru

> MESON 2016 Krakow, Poland 3 June 2016

Fit results

Conclusions

Backup slides

Preface

Comparison of two final states permit us to know better:

- isospin relation between these states
- what is resonant and what is not
- bugs in hardware, methods, programs...
- PWA is model dependent. It is useful to compare one model for two systems and two model (full rank and rank 1) for the same system.

We have:

- full featured magnetic spectrometer with 29 GeV/c $\pi-$ beam, Be target, $|t'|=0\ldots 1~GeV^2/c^2$
- 20 $\cdot\,10^6$ events in $\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0$ (leading statistics in the world)
- $30 \cdot 10^6$ events in $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^-$ (next to leading)
- Analysis is done for $|t^{\,\prime}|=$ 0–0.03–0.15–0.30–0.80 GeV^2/c^2

Fit results

Conclusions

Backup slides

Raw data



Fit results

Conclusions

Backup slides

PWA method. Partial waves



PWA amplitudes are constructed using isobar model, sequential decay via $\pi\pi$ subsystem. Wave has quantum numbers $J^P L M^{\eta} R$ where J^P is spin-parity for 3π system, M^{η} is its projection of spin and naturality, R is the known resonance in $\pi\pi$ system, L is orbital momentum in $R\pi$ decay. $I^G = 1^-$ is implicit for 3π charged states.

Fit results

Conclusions

Backup slides

Method details

- Amplitudes use d-functions (Hansen, Illinois PWA)
- Amplitudes are relativistic (Chung, Filippini)
- resonances are relativistic Breit-Wigners
 $$\begin{split} R &= f_0(980), \ \epsilon(1300), \ f_0(1500), \ \rho(770), \ f_2(1270), \ \rho_3(1690) \\ \text{To describe } \pi\pi \text{ S-wave we use modified Au, Morgan,} \\ \text{Pennnington M-solution with } f_0(980) \text{ withdrawn. We name it} \\ \epsilon(1300) \end{split}$$
- Notation for some known 3π resonances:

 $\begin{array}{l} a_1(1260) \longrightarrow 1^+ \mathrm{S0^+} \rho(770), \ a_2(1320) \longrightarrow 2^+ \mathrm{D1^+} \rho(770), \\ \pi_2(1670) \longrightarrow 2^- \mathrm{S0^+} \mathrm{f}_2(1270), \ \pi(1800) \longrightarrow 0^- \mathrm{S0^+} \mathrm{f}_0(980) \end{array}$

• fit parameters are elements of positive definite density matrix. No rank constraints. For small number of waves (where $C \neq 1$, see below) we have 100% coherence.

PWA method

Fit results

Conclusions

Backup slides

Extended likelihood function

$$\begin{split} \ln \mathcal{L} &= \sum_{e=1}^{N_{ev}} \ln \sum_{i,j=1}^{N_w} C_{k(i)} R_{\mathfrak{m}(i)\mathfrak{m}(j)} C_{k(j)}^* \mathcal{M}_i(\tau_e) \mathcal{M}_j^*(\tau_e) \\ &- N_{ev} \sum_{i,j=1}^{N_w} C_{k(i)} R_{\mathfrak{m}(i)\mathfrak{m}(j)} C_{k(j)}^* \int \varepsilon(\tau) \mathcal{M}_i(\tau) \mathcal{M}_j^*(\tau) \, d\tau \end{split}$$

- $N_{e\nu}$ number of events, $N_{\nu\nu}$ number of waves
- $\mathcal{M}(\tau_e)$ amplitudes for *e*-th event (data)
- R positive definite density matrix (parameters)
- C coupling coeff (most of C = 1, some are parameters)
- $m(i),\,k(i)$ describes wave to C and R correspondence
- $\tau = s$, t, m(3 π), ... phase space variables
- $\epsilon(\tau)$ acceptance of the setup

Fit results

Coherent part of density matrix

Coherent part of the density matrix R is the largest part of the matrix which has rank 1 and behaves like vector of amplitudes. Let

$$\begin{split} R &= \sum_{k=1}^d e_k * V_k * V_k^+ \quad \text{where} \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} e_k \text{ is k-th eigenvalue} \\ V_k \text{ is k-th eigenvector} \end{array} \right. \\ \text{Let } e_1 \gg e_2 > \ldots > e_d > 0. \ \text{Leading term } R_L \text{ is coherent part of} \\ \text{density matrix and } R_S \text{ is the rest (incoherent part). This} \\ \text{decomposition is stable w.r.t. variations of } R \text{ matrix elements.} \end{split}$$

$$R = R_L + R_S$$
, $R_L = e_1 * V_1 * V_1^+$, $R_S = \sum_{k=2}^{d} e_k * V_k * V_k^+$

Experience shows that resonances tend to concentrate in R_L .

Fit results

Conclusions

Backup slides

Isospin relations

If we neglect phase space factors

 $R = \frac{\sigma(\pi^{-}\pi^{0}\pi^{0})}{\sigma(\pi^{+}\pi^{-}\pi^{-})} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for waves with } \rho(770), \ \rho_{3}(1690) \\ 1/2 & \text{for waves with } f_{0}(...), \ f_{2}(1270) \end{cases}$

All waves coupled to $\pi^0 \pi^0$ have factor 1/2 To simplify comparison, they are scaled 2x. To compensate for some losses, all $\pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0$ are scaled by 1.25 This factor is obtained comparing signals of $a_2(1320)$ Blue line - $\pi^+ \pi^- \pi^-$ Red line - $\pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0$ Largest waves, $|t^\prime| < 0.03~GeV^2/c^2$



Minor waves — 1, $|t'| < 0.03 \text{ GeV}^2/c^2$



Fit results

Conclusions

Minor waves — 2



1.2 1.4 1.6 1.8 2 2.2 2.4 2.1

M(3±)

0.6 0.8 1

M(3±)

Exotic (non $q\bar{q}$) wave $J^{PC} = 1^{-+}$ Results for $|t'| = 0-0.03-0.15-0.30-0.80 \text{ GeV}^2/c^2$

Full density matrix 1-P1+ RHO(770) 1-P1+ RHO(770) 1-P1+ RHO(770) 1-P1+ RHO(770) Entries 511121 2200 Entries 442687 Entries 155386 400 Entries 97848 30000 2000 350 600 1800 2500 300 1600 500 1400 2000 250 400 1200 1500 1000 300 800 150 1000 200 600 100 400 500 200 1 1.2 1.4 1.6 1.8 2 2.2 2.4 2.6 12 14 16 18 2 1.2 1.4 1.6 1.8 2 2.2 2.4 2.6 0.6 0.8 1.2 1.4 1.6 1.8 2 2.2 M(3π) M(3±1 M(3±) M(3=) Largest Eigenvalue 1-P1+ RHO(770) 1-P1+ RHO(770) 1-P1+ RHO(770) 1-P1+ RHO(770) Entries 31799 Entries 119892 Entries 50083 Entries 22219 300 1200 180 160 250 100 400 140 2000 80 120 3000 100 150 2000 100 100 20

1 1.2 1.4 1.6 1.8 2 2.2 2.4 2.6 0.6 0.8 M(3x)

0.6 0.8



1.2 1.4 1.6 1.8 2

Conclusions

- Mass-independent PWA is done for $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^-$ and $\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0$ data. A lot of waves look alike in both reactions, some are not. Naive isospin relations can be violated by the difference in phase space and in interference on Dalitz plot for I = 0 isobars.
- Unestablished decay modes $\pi(1800) \rightarrow f_0(1500)\pi$, $a_3(1875) \rightarrow \rho_3(1690)\pi$ are much better seen in $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^-$. The same is true for 1^+S $f_0(980)\pi$ bump at $M=1.4~GeV/c^2$, $a_1(1420)$. State $a_2(1700)$ is not seen in both reactions.
- State $a_2(1320)$ is in good agreement in both systems for all t'. For $a_4(2050)$ this is true for $|t'| > 0.03 \ GeV^2/c^2$. We have not enough statictics for low t'.
- Waves with $J^{PC} = 1^{-+}$ are small, in largest eigenvalue are even smaller, albeit in agreement for $|t'| > 0.03 \ GeV^2/c^2$. In negative naturality in $\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0$ they are severely suppressed.

Preface	PWA method	Fit results	Conclusions	Backup slides
		0000		

Backup slides

Preface

Fit results 0000

Conclusions

Backup slides

Wave set used in the analysis

DC	vidve set use	a in the unarysis
JPC	Waves	
FLAT		
0-+	$0-s0+\varepsilon$	
	$0^{-}s0^{+}f_{0}(975)$	$0-s0+f_0(1500)$
	0 ^{-P0+} p	
1^{++}	$1 + s0 + \rho$	
	1 ⁺ P0 ⁺ ε	
	$1^{+}D0^{+}\rho$	$1^{+}P0^{+}f_{0}(975)$
	$1^{+}P0^{+}f_{2}$	U
	$1 + s1 + \rho$	
	1 ⁺ P1 ⁺ ε	
	$1 + s1 - \rho$	
1-+	1 P1 ⁺ ρ	
	1 P0 ρ	
	1 P1 ρ	
2^{-+}	2-s0+f2	
	2 ⁻ P0 ⁺ ρ	
	2-D0+ε	
	$2^{-}F0^{+}\rho$	
	$2^{-}D0^{+}f_{0}(975)$	
	2-D0+f2	
	2-s1+f2	
	2 ⁻ P1 ⁺ ρ	2 ⁻ F1 ⁺ ρ
	2 ⁻ D1 ⁺ ε	2 ^{-D1+f2}
2^{++}	2 ⁺ D1 ⁺ ρ	2 ⁺ P1 ⁺ f ₂
	2+D0-ρ	
	2 ⁺ D1 ⁻ ρ	
3^{++}	$3^{+}s0^{+}\rho_{3}$	3 ⁺ P0 ⁺ f ₂
	3+D0+p	
4-+	4 ⁻ F0 ⁺ ρ	
4++	4 ⁺ F0 ⁺ f ₂	$4^{+}G0^{+}\rho$

Largest waves, $|t'| < 0.03 \text{ GeV}^2/c^2$, Largest EigenValue

