$\alpha + d \rightarrow {}^{6}Li + \gamma$ astrophysical S-factor and its implications for Big Bang Nucleosynthesis Alessandro Grassi

1343

Department of Physics, Jagiellonian University / Department of Phyiscs, University of Pisa

Objectives

- 1. Analyses of the current phenomenological αd potentials in order to study the $\alpha + d \rightarrow {}^{6}Li + \gamma$ reaction at astrophysical energies.
- 2. Checking of the importance of the tensor contribution to the astrophysical *S*-factor. This tensor component is included in two new potentials.
- 3. Estimation for the theoretical error on the *S*-factor and compare the predictions with experimental data.

Introduction

The interest for the $\alpha + \mathbf{d} \rightarrow {}^{\mathbf{6}}\mathbf{Li} + \gamma$ reaction has grown recently due to

The ⁶Li wave function



the so-called *second Lithium problem*, *i.e.* a theoretical underestimation by a factor 1000 for the primordial ⁶Li abundance with respect to experimental measurements. The primordial ⁶Li abundance has been inferred from observations of spectral lines in old halo stars, where it is supposed to be the same as it was after the Big Bang Nucleosyntheses.

Quantum model

- The quantum approach to study the reaction starting from a 6-body framework proved to be complex and it still requires better numerical accuracy.
- The framework adopted uses the following approximations
- The ⁶Li nucleus has been considered to be a bound state of structureless α and d particles
- \triangleright The initial scattering state has been expanded in partial waves components and only states with $\ell \leq 2$ have been considered
- The current operator have been decomposed to multipole moments operators and only electric dipole and quadrupole interactions have been kept
- non relativistic approach for the nuclear current and Hamiltonian

The S factor

The observable of interest has been chosen to be the astrophysical S-factor, defined as

$$S(E) = \sigma(E) E \exp(\sqrt{E_G/E})$$

where $E_G = 2\mu (\pi \alpha Z_\alpha Z_d)^2$.

► the cross section $\sigma(E)$ is then expressed as a function of the electric and magnetic multipole operators ($E_{\Lambda}^{\ell_i S_i J_i}$ and $M_{\Lambda}^{\ell_i S_i J_i}$, respectively) as

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\mathsf{E}) = \frac{32\pi^2}{(2\mathsf{J}_{\alpha}+1)(2\mathsf{J}_{\mathsf{d}}+1)\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{r}}} \frac{\alpha}{1+\mathsf{q}/\mathsf{m}_6} \sum_{\Lambda \ge 1} \sum_{\ell_i \mathsf{S}_i \mathsf{J}_i} \left[\left| \mathsf{E}_{\Lambda}^{\ell_i \mathsf{S}_i \mathsf{J}_i} \right|^2 + \left| \mathsf{M}_{\Lambda}^{\ell_i \mathsf{S}_i \mathsf{J}_i} \right|^2 \right]$$

Results: data vs calculations



Potential models

- ► The potential models for the α − d interaction should reproduce
 ► the ⁶Li binding energy
- the ⁶Li asymptotic normalization coefficient (ANC)
- \triangleright the ⁶Li magnetic dipole μ_6 and electric quadrupole Q_6 moments
- \triangleright the α **d** partial scattering phase shifts
- ▷ the ⁶Li resonance at 711 keV
- **5** potential models have been adopted
 - ▷ a Wood-Saxon potential with a spin-orbit interaction $(V_H [1])$
 - ▷ a Gaussian potential (V_T [2])
 - ▷ a modified version of V_H to reproduce the ⁶Li ANC (V_M [3])
- ▷ a Gaussian potential with a **tensor term** $\Rightarrow \mu_6$ and Q_6 + modified to fit the $\ell = 1$ and $\ell = 2$ phase shifts (V_D [4])
- ▷ a **new** potential model with **tensor term** $\Rightarrow \mu_6$, Q_6 and ⁶Li ANC (V_{ND} [5])

Bound state results



Conclusion

From the results showed in the above graph it can be stated that

- the ANC plays a crucial role for low astrophysical energies, as it can be seen from the fact that the three results for the potential which reproduce the ANC overlap
- the contribution for the ⁶Li D-state is negligible

$$B_6$$
 μ_6 Q_6 C_0 MeV μ_N fm²fm^{-1/2} V_H 1.4740.8570.2862.70 V_T 1.4750.8570.2862.31 V_M 1.4740.8570.2862.30 V_D 1.47350.848-0.0662.50 V_{ND} 1.47350.848-0.0512.30Exp.1.4740.822-0.0822.30

 $\mu_6 = \mu_d$, $Q_6 = Q_d$ for potentials without tensor term $P_D = 1.59\%$ (1.76%) for V_D (V_{ND})

- it is impossible to rule out any of the studied potentials, due to the largeness of experimental data
- ▶ the theoretical uncertainty is 3% for the models which reproduce the ANC and it grows to 17% considering all models

References

F. Hammache *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C **82**, 065803 (2010)
 E. M. Tursunov, S. A. Turakulov, and P. Descouvemont, Phys. At. Nucl. **78**, 193 (2015).
 A. M. Mukhamedzhanov, L. D. Blokhintsev, and B. F. Irgaziev, Phys. Rev. C **83**, 055805 (2011).
 S. B. Dubovichenko, Phys. At. Nucl. **61**, 162 (1998).
 A. Grassi, G. Mangano, L. E. Marcucci, and O. Pisanti, Phys. Rev. C **96**, 045807 (2017).

Contact Information

Email: alessandro.grassi@doctoral.uj.edu.pl