NEW INSIGHTS ON LOW ENERGY πN SCATTERING AMPLITUDES

Meson 2018 @ Kraków

Y.F. Wang

based on **arXiv:1712.09257**, in collaboration with **D.L. Yao** and **H.Q. Zheng**

School of physics, Peking University

June 7, 2018

CONTENTS

1. Introduction

The pion-nucleon scattering

- The πN scattering $\rightarrow$ one of the most fundamental and important processes in nuclear or hadron physics
- Decades of researching
- Various experiments and phenomena ($L_{2I\ 2J}$ convention, $W = \sqrt{s}$, $S_r = 1 - \eta^2$)[SAID: WI 08]





The pion-nucleon scattering









THE PION-NUCLEON SCATTERING









THE PION-NUCLEON SCATTERING





THEORETICAL DISCUSSIONS

- Problems to study
  - Low energy properties:
    πN σ-term, subthreshold expansions
    [C. Ditsche et. al. 2012 JHEP][Y. H. Chen et. al. 2013 PRD][Hoferichter et. al. 2016 Phys.Rept.]
  - Intermediate resonances: $\Delta(1232), N^*(1440), N^*(1535) \cdots$
- Methods
  - Perturbative calculation
    [J.M. Alarcón et. al. 2012 RPD][Y. H. Chen et. al. 2013 PRD]
  - Couple channel Lippmann-Schwinger Equation
    [O. Krehl et. al. 2000 PRC]
  - Dispersion technique [A. Gasparyan and M.F.M. Lutz 2010 NPA]
  - Roy-Steiner equation
    [C. Ditsche et. al. 2012 JHEP][Hoferichter et. al. 2016 Phys.Rept.]

S_{11} AND P_{11} CHANNELS

- S_{11} channel ($L_{2I\ 2J}$ convention): $N^*(1535)$

  [N. Kaiser et. al. 1995 PLB][J. Nieves et. al. 2000 PRD]
  - lies above the P- wave first resonance $N^*(1440)$
  - large couple channel effects with πN and ηN
- P_{11} channel: $N^*(1440)$ (Ropper resonance), various puzzles
  - low mass, large decay width, coupling to σN channel...

    [O. Krehl et. al. 2000 PRC]
  - two-pole structure? [R. A. Arndt et. al. 1985 PRD]
  - second sheet complex branch cut in P_{11} channel?

    [S. Ceci et. al. 2011 PRC]
- A method is needed to examine the relevant channels carefully and to exhume more physics behind
  - low energy
  - model independent

PKU REPRESENTATION

- Peking University (PKU) representation: elastic two-body scatterings

$$S = \prod_i S_i \times S_{cut}$$

- S_i: pole terms, $S_{cut} = e^{2i\rho(s)f(s)}$: left-hand cuts and right hand inelastic cut – background.

$$f(s) = \frac{s}{2\pi \mathrm{i}} \int_{\mathrm{L}} ds' \frac{\mathrm{disc} f(s')}{(s'-s)s'} + \frac{s}{2\pi \mathrm{i}} \int_{\mathrm{R}'} ds' \frac{\mathrm{disc} f(s')}{(s'-s)s'}$$

- $f(0) \equiv 0$ [Z. Y. Zhou and H. Q. Zheng 2006 NPA]

PKU REPRESENTATION

- $f(s)$ perturbatively calculated, poles as parameters (input or fit)
- Corresponding to the Ning Hu representation in QM

  [N. Hu 1948 PR]
- Advantages
  - rigorous and universal
  - separated $S \to$ additive phase shift
  - sensitive to (not too) distant poles
  - definite sign of the phase shifts $\to$ figuring out hidden contributions
- Applications
  - the $\pi\pi$ elastic scattering $\to$ existence of the σ particle ($f_0(500)$)[Z. G. Xiao and H. Q. Zheng 2001 NPA]
  - the πK elastic scattering $\to$ κ resonance ($K^*(800)$)

    [H. Q. Zheng et. al. 2004 NPA]

THE EXISTENCE OF σ

The left-hand cut contribution (negative definite)
$\rightarrow$ the existence of σ particle [Z. G. Xiao and H. Q. Zheng 2001 NPA]



$M_\sigma = 457 \pm 15$ MeV, $\Gamma_\sigma = 551 \pm 28$ MeV [Z. Y. Zhou et. al 2005 JHEP]
$M_\sigma = 441^{+16}_{-8}$ MeV, $\Gamma_\sigma = 544^{+18}_{-25}$ MeV [I. Caprini et. al. 2006 PRL]

2. Theoretical framework

LAGRANGIAN

- Covariant baryon chiral perturbation theory, $SU(2)$ case.
- Lagrangians [N. Fettes et. al. 2000 Ann. Phys.]
- $\mathcal{O}(p^1)$:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\pi N}^{(1)} = \bar{N}\big(\mathrm{i}\not{D} - M + \frac{1}{2}g\not{u}\gamma^5\big)N$$

- $\mathcal{O}(p^2)$ ("$\langle\rangle$" stands for trace in isospin space):

$$\mathcal{L}_{\pi N}^{(2)} = c_1\langle\chi_+\rangle\bar{N}N - \frac{c_2}{4M_N^2}\langle u^\mu u^\nu\rangle(\bar{N}D_\mu D_\nu N + \text{h.c.}) + \frac{c_3}{2}\langle u^\mu u_\mu\rangle\bar{N}N - \frac{c_4}{4}\bar{N}\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu\left[u^\mu, u^\nu\right]N$$

CONVENTIONS

- Conventions

$$D_\mu = \partial_\mu + \Gamma_\mu$$
$$\Gamma_\mu = \frac{1}{2}\left[u^\dagger(\partial_\mu - \mathrm{i}r_\mu)u + u(\partial_\mu - \mathrm{i}l_\mu)u^\dagger\right]$$
$$u_\mu = \mathrm{i}\left[u^\dagger(\partial_\mu - \mathrm{i}r_\mu)u - u(\partial_\mu - \mathrm{i}l_\mu)u^\dagger\right]$$
$$\chi_\pm \equiv u^\dagger \chi u^\dagger \pm u\chi^\dagger u$$
$$\chi = 2B_0(s + ip)$$
$$h_\nu^{\ \mu} = \left[D_\nu, u^\mu\right] + \left[D^\mu, u_\nu\right]$$

In calculation $2B_0 s \to 2B_0 m_q = m_\pi^2$, other sources are switched off

ISOSPIN DECOMPOSITION

- Symmetric part vs. anti-symmetric part

$$T(\pi^a + N_\mathrm{i} \to \pi^{a'} + N_\mathrm{f}) = \chi_\mathrm{f}^\dagger \left(\delta^{aa'} T^S + \frac{1}{2} \left[\tau^{a'}, \tau^a \right] T^A \right) \chi_\mathrm{i}$$

- Isospin channels

$$T^{I=1/2} = T^S + 2T^A$$

$$T^{I=3/2} = T^S - T^A$$

Helicity structure

- Lorentz structure

$$T^{S,A} = \bar{u}(p', s')\Big[A^{S,A}(s,t) + \frac{1}{2}(\not{q} + \not{q}')B^{S,A}(s,t)\Big]u(p,s)$$
$$= \bar{u}(p', s')\Big[D^{S,A}(s,t) + \frac{\mathrm{i}\sigma^{\mu\nu}q_\nu q'_\mu}{2M}B^{S,A}(s,t)\Big]u(p,s)$$

where $D = A + (s-u)B/(4M_N)$

- Helicity amplitudes ($z_s = \cos\theta$)

$$T_{++} = (\frac{1+z_s}{2})^{\frac{1}{2}}[2M_N A(s,t) + (s - m_\pi^2 - M_N^2)B(s,t)]$$
$$T_{+-} = -(\frac{1-z_s}{2})^{\frac{1}{2}} s^{-\frac{1}{2}}[(s - m_\pi^2 + M_N^2)A(s,t) + M_N(s + m_\pi^2 - M_N^2)B(s,t)]$$

- Partial wave projection

$$T^J_{++} = \frac{1}{32\pi}\int_{-1}^{1} dz_s T_{++}(s, t(s,z_s))d^J_{-1/2,-1/2}(z_s)$$
$$T^J_{+-} = \frac{1}{32\pi}\int_{-1}^{1} dz_s T_{+-}(s, t(s,z_s))d^J_{1/2,-1/2}(z_s)$$

Channels to be analyzed

$L_{2I\ 2J}$ convention

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(S_{11}) &= T_{++}(I=1/2, J=1/2) + T_{+-}(I=1/2, J=1/2) \\
T(S_{31}) &= T_{++}(I=3/2, J=1/2) + T_{+-}(I=3/2, J=1/2) \\
T(P_{11}) &= T_{++}(I=1/2, J=1/2) - T_{+-}(I=1/2, J=1/2) \\
T(P_{31}) &= T_{++}(I=3/2, J=1/2) - T_{+-}(I=3/2, J=1/2) \\
T(P_{13}) &= T_{++}(I=1/2, J=3/2) + T_{+-}(I=1/2, J=3/2) \\
T(P_{33}) &= T_{++}(I=3/2, J=3/2) + T_{+-}(I=3/2, J=3/2)
\end{aligned}
$$

Each channel satisfies unitarity condition.

PKU REPRESENTATION

- PKU representation

$$S(s) = \prod_b \frac{1 - \mathrm{i}\rho(s)\frac{s}{s-s_{\mathrm{L}}}\sqrt{\frac{s_b-s_{\mathrm{L}}}{s_{\mathrm{R}}-s_b}}}{1 + \mathrm{i}\rho(s)\frac{s}{s-s_{\mathrm{L}}}\sqrt{\frac{s_b-s_{\mathrm{L}}}{s_{\mathrm{R}}-s_b}}} \prod_v \frac{1 + \mathrm{i}\rho(s)\frac{s}{s-s_{\mathrm{L}}}\sqrt{\frac{s'_v-s_{\mathrm{L}}}{s_{\mathrm{R}}-s'_v}}}{1 - \mathrm{i}\rho(s)\frac{s}{s-s_{\mathrm{L}}}\sqrt{\frac{s'_v-s_{\mathrm{L}}}{s_{\mathrm{R}}-s'_v}}}$$
$$\prod_r \frac{M_r^2 - s + \mathrm{i}\rho(s)sG_r}{M_r^2 - s - \mathrm{i}\rho(s)sG_r} e^{2\mathrm{i}\rho(s)f(s)}$$

- s_b: bound states. s'_v: virtual states (sheet I). z_r: resonances (sheet II).
- $s_{\mathrm{L}} = (m_1-m_2)^2, \ \ s_{\mathrm{R}} = (m_1+m_2)^2, \ \ \rho(s) = \sqrt{s - s_{\mathrm{L}}}\sqrt{s - s_{\mathrm{R}}}/s.$

$$M_r^2 = \mathrm{Re}[z_r] + \mathrm{Im}[z_r]\frac{\mathrm{Im}[\sqrt{(z_r - s_{\mathrm{R}})(z_r - s_{\mathrm{L}})}]}{\mathrm{Re}[\sqrt{(z_r - s_{\mathrm{R}})(z_r - s_{\mathrm{L}})}]}$$

$$G_r = \frac{\mathrm{Im}[z_r]}{\mathrm{Re}[\sqrt{(z_r - s_{\mathrm{R}})(z_r - s_{\mathrm{L}})}]}$$

PHASE SHIFT COMPONENTS

- PKU representation → conventionally additive phase shift
- Phase shift contributions
  - bound states → negative phase shift
  - virtual states (usually hidden !) → positive phase shift
  - resonances → positive phase shift
  - left hand cut → (empirically) negative phase shift (proved in quantum mechanical potential scatterings)

    [T. Regge 1958 Nuovo Cimento]

BRANCH CUT STRUCTURE OF PARTIAL WAVE πN ELASTIC SCATTERING AMPLITUDE

[S. W. MacDowell 1959 PR][J. Kennedy and T. D. Spearman 1961 PR]



Tree level left-hand cut

- Tree level left-hand cut of S
  - $(-\infty, (M_N - m_\pi)^2] \rightarrow$ kinematic
  - $[(M_N^2 - m_\pi^2)^2/M_N^2, M_N^2 + 2m_\pi^2] \rightarrow u$ channel nucleon exchange $\rightarrow$ very small
- The main contribution of $f(s)$ (with a cut-off s_c)

$$f(s) = \frac{s}{\pi} \int_{s_c}^{(M_N - m_\pi)^2} \frac{\sigma(w) dw}{w(w-s)}$$

- The dispersion spectral function

$$\sigma(w) = \mathrm{Im}\left\{ \frac{\ln |S_{\text{tree}}|}{2i\rho(w)} \right\} = -\frac{\ln |1 + 2i\rho(w) T_{\text{tree}}|}{2\rho(w)}$$

negative definite

- Right-hand inelastic cuts are omitted for the moment

3. Numerical results

TREE-LEVEL QUALITATIVE ANALYSIS

- Values of the constants (s_c determined by $N^*(1440)$ shadow pole position)

$$F = 0.0924 \text{ GeV}, \; g = 1.267, \; s_c = -0.08 \text{ GeV}^2$$

$$M_N = 0.9383 \text{ GeV}, \; m_\pi = 0.1396 \text{ GeV}$$

- $\mathcal{O}(p^2)$ K-Matrix phase shift:

$$T = T_{\text{tree}}/(1 - i\rho T_{\text{tree}}), \;\; \delta = \arctan(\rho T_{\text{tree}})$$

- Data from computer code SAID (WI 08) http://gwdac.phys.gwu.edu/
- K-Matrix fit to S_{11}, S_{31}, P_{11}, P_{31}, P_{13} channels, $W = \sqrt{s} \in [1.08,\, 1.16]$ GeV, χ^2/d.o.f. $= 1.850$.

$$c_1 = -0.841, \; c_2 = 1.170, \; c_3 = -2.618, \; c_4 = 1.677$$

- Known poles[A.V. Anisovich et. al. 2012 Eur. Phys. J. A] $\sqrt{s_p}^{\text{II}} = M_p - i|\Gamma_{\pi N} - \Gamma_{\text{inel.}}|/2$

Tree level phase shift results

$L_{2I\ 2J}$ convention, $W = \sqrt{s}$, data: green triangles [SAID: WI 08]



TREE LEVEL PHASE SHIFT RESULTS

$L_{2I\ 2J}$ convention, $W = \sqrt{s}$, data: green triangles [SAID: WI 08]





DISCREPANCIES IN S_{11} AND P_{11} CHANNELS

- Large missing positive contributions
- Possible interpretations
  - one loop contributions? numerical uncertainties?
  - contributions from other branch cuts?
  - hidden poles - virtual states, crazy resonances below threshold, or some extremely broad states?
- Once subtraction, logarithmic form $\rightarrow$ not sensitive to chiral orders and numerical details

RIGHT-HAND INELASTIC CUT

- Right-hand inelastic cut contribution $\rightarrow$ positive definite

$$f_{\mathrm{R}'}(s) = \frac{s}{\pi} \int_{(2m+M)^2}^{\Lambda_{\mathrm{R}}^2} \frac{\sigma(w)dw}{w(w-s)}$$

$$\sigma(w) = -\left\{ \frac{\ln[\eta(w)]}{2\rho(w)} \right\}$$

- η: inelasticity, from SAID WI 08 data and extrapolation
- Cut-off: $\Lambda_{\mathrm{R}} = 4.00$GeV

RIGHT-HAND INELASTIC CUT





Far from enough!!

4. Hidden contributions

FINDING P_{11} HIDDEN POLE

- P- wave: $\delta(k) \sim \mathcal{O}(k^3)$
- Initially one resonance $\to$ two virtual states $\to$ one survives, the other is nearly absorbed by the point $(M_N - m_\pi)^2$
- $s_c = -9$ GeV^2, virtual pole: 980 MeV, $\chi^2_{P_{11}}$/d.o.f $= 0.201$.
- An extra CDD pole is needed in P_{11} channel

[A. Gasparyan and M.F.M. Lutz 2010 NPA]



P_{11} CHANNEL: SHADOW POLE OF THE NUCLEON

- Analytical continuation: $S^{\text{II}} = 1/S^{\text{I}}$.
  Second sheet poles $\to$ first sheet zeros.
- Expansion: $S^{\text{I}} \sim a/(s - M_N^2) + b + \cdots$
- Arbitrary non-zero $b \to$ the virtual state
- Perturbative calculation $\to$ virtual state at 976 MeV; fit $\to 980$ MeV



FINDING S_{11} HIDDEN POLE

- $s_c = -0.08$ GeV^2, $\Lambda_\text{R} = 4.00$ GeV.
- Hidden pole $\rightarrow$ a "crazy resonance" below threshold $(0.861 \pm 0.053) - (0.130 \pm 0.075)i$ GeV

s_c (GeV^2)	Pole position (GeV)	Fit quality χ^2/d.o.f
-0.08	$0.808 - 0.055i$	0.109
-1	$0.822 - 0.139i$	0.076
-9	$0.883 - 0.195i$	0.034
∞	$0.914 - 0.205i$	0.018

S_{11} CHANNEL: LOWEST POTENTIAL-NATURE RESONANCE?

- S_{11} channel $\rightarrow$ no s-channel intermediate states $\rightarrow$ potential nature interaction
- Square-well potential (μ: reduced mass)

$$U(r) = 2\mu V(r) = \begin{cases} -2\mu V_0 & (r \leq L), \\ 0 & (r > L), \end{cases}$$

- Phase shift ($k' = (k^2 + 2\mu V_0)^{1/2}$)

$$\delta_{\mathsf{sw}}(k) = \arctan\left[\frac{k \tan k'L - k' \tan kL}{k' + k \tan(kL) \tan(k'L)}\right]$$

S_{11} CHANNEL: LOWEST POTENTIAL-NATURE RESONANCE?

- Fit result (20 data): $L = 0.829$ fm and $V_0 = 144$ MeV, $\chi^2_{\text{sw}}/\text{d.o.f} = 0.740$
- Pole position: $k = -346i$ MeV $\rightarrow 0.872 - 0.316i$ GeV. Hidden pole fit $(0.861 \pm 0.053) - (0.130 \pm 0.075)i$ GeV



4. Summary

SUMMARY

- PKU representation which separates phase shift contributions is employed to analyze πN elastic scatterings in s and p wave channels.
- The calculation of the left-hand cuts is under covariant baryon chiral perturbation theory at tree level.
- The S_{11} and P_{11} channels contain significant disagreements between "known poles + cut" and the experiment, missing large positive contributions. (reliable, independent of numerical details)
- S_{11} channel contains a hidden resonance below threshold, while in P_{11} channel the nucleon pole induces a companionate virtual state.

Thank you !!

Back up

POLES

- Intermediate particles

Channel	$I(J^P)$	Intermediate particles
S_{11}	$\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}^-)$	$N^*(1535), N^*(1650), N^*(1895)$
S_{31}	$\frac{3}{2}(\frac{1}{2}^-)$	$\Delta(1620), \Delta(1900)$
P_{11}	$\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}^+)$	$N, N^*(1440), N^*(1710), N^*(1880)$
P_{31}	$\frac{3}{2}(\frac{1}{2}^+)$	$\Delta(1910)$
P_{13}	$\frac{1}{2}(\frac{3}{2}^+)$	$N^*(1720), N^*(1900)$
P_{33}	$\frac{3}{2}(\frac{3}{2}^+)$	$\Delta(1232), \Delta(1600), \Delta(1920)$

DETERMINATION OF COEFFICIENTS c_i?

- Set 1: this work
  Set 2: $\mathcal{O}(p^3)$ fit in [Y. H. Chen et. al. 2013 PRD]
  Set 3: [D. Siemens et. al. 2017 PLB]
- Different choices have little impact on the left-hand cut contributions!





$\mathcal{O}(p^3)$ PRELIMINARY RESULTS

- The same cut-off condition
- Chiral order does not impact on the existence of the S_{11} and P_{11} states
- $\mathcal{O}(p^3)$ greatly improves the fit quality in other channels that are impossible to fit the data at $\mathcal{O}(p^2)$, and there may be some indications of new hidden structures.