15th International Workshop on Meson Physics KRAKOW, 7-12 June 2018

Semileptonic B meson decays: recent results and their implications



Marcello Rotondo

Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati





MESON 2018

Outline

- This talk will focus on measurements of $|V_{cb}|$ and $|V_{ub}|$ parameters
- $|V_{cb}|$ and $|V_{ub}|$ are determined from semileptonic B-hadron decays



Why $|V_{cb}|$ and $|V_{ub}|$?

They give crucial inputs to CKM fits for indirect search of New Physics

|V_{ub}| opposite to angle β: Compare tree-dominated with loop-dominated processes

Predictions of FCNC processes

$$\propto |V_{tb}V_{ts}| \approx |V_{cb}|^2 [1 + O(\lambda^2)]$$

Kaon physics affected by $|V_{cb}|$

$$\epsilon_K \approx x |V_{cb}|^2 + \dots$$



R(D)-R(D^{*}) enhanced with respect to SM by 4σ ! Hints of New Physics at Tree level? Measurements of $|V_{cb}|$ and $|V_{ub}|$ provide Form-Factors, crucial for SM predictions on R(D)-R(D^{*}) and signal model

MESON 2018

Semileptonic Decays: |V_{xb}|



- QCD corrections to parton level decay rate
- Operator Production Expansion in α_s and $\Lambda_{QCD}/m_{b.c}$
- Exclusive decays $B \rightarrow \pi/\rho \ell \nu$ or $B \rightarrow D/D^* \ell \nu$
 - QCD correction parameterized in the Form Factors
 - Lattice-QCD, LCSR

State of the Art

Heavy Flavor Averaging Group

Eur.Phys.J. C77 (2017) no.12, 895

 $\frac{Summer \ 2016}{P(\chi^2) = 11.0\%}$

42

Total uncertainties better than 2% for $|V_{cb}|$ and at about 4-6 % for $|V_{ub}|$

 $|V_{ub}| [10^{-3}]$ For both $|V_{cb}|$ and $|V_{ub}|$ there is a →D1v long standing discrepancy →πlν 4.5 $\Lambda_h \rightarrow p \mu \nu$ between the inclusive and Inclusive Average 68% C.L. |V_{uk}|: GGOU exclusive determinations at $\sim 3\sigma$ Average $\Delta \chi^2 = 1$ |V_{cb}|: global fit in KS level 3.5 2016 3 HFLAV

2.5

34

36

38

40

Indirect determinations from CKM fits prefer inclusive $|V_{cb}|$ and exclusive $|V_{ub}|$

MESON 2018

IV _{cb}| inclusive

 HQE is the successful tool to include perturbative and nonperturbative QCD corrections that allow to connect measurements of semileptonic B-meson decays to |V_{cb}|²

$$\begin{split} \Gamma_{sl} = & \Gamma_0 \Big[1 + a^{(1)} \frac{\alpha_s(m_b)}{\pi} + a^{(2,\beta_0)} \beta_0 \Big(\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \Big)^2 + a^{(2)} \Big(\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \Big)^2 \\ & + \Big(-\frac{1}{2} + p^{(1)} \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \Big) \frac{\mu_\pi^2}{m_b^2} + \Big(g^{(0)} + g^{(1)} \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \Big) \frac{\mu_C^2(m_b)}{m_b^2} \\ & + d^{(0)} \frac{\rho_D^3}{m_b^3} - g^{(0)} \frac{\rho_{LS}^3}{m_b^3} + \text{higher orders} \Big] \end{split}$$

No new experimental results since 2010

Latest fits in Kinetic Scheme:

Gambino, Schwanda PhysRevD 89,014022 (2014) Include charm-quark mass from sumrule results (PRD80,074010 (2009))

Alberti, Gambino, Healey, Nandi PhysRevLett 114,061802 (2015) - Includes corrections of

 $O(\alpha_{\rm s}^{~2}\Lambda_{\rm QCD}^{~2}\!/m_{\rm b}^{~2}\,)$

Experiment	Hadron moments <m<sup>n_X></m<sup>	Lepton moments <e<sup>nl></e<sup>	References
BaBar	n=2 c=0.9,1.1,1.3,1.5 n=4 c=0.8,1.0,1.2,1.4 n=6 c=0.9,1.3 [1]	n=0 c=0.6,1.2,1.5 n=1 c=0.6,0.8,1.0,1.2,1.5 n=2 c=0.6,1.0,1.5 n=3 c=0.8,1.2 [1,2]	[1] Phys.Rev. D81 (2010) 032003 [2] Phys.Rev. D69 (2004) 111104
Belle	n=2 c=0.7,1.1,1.3,1.5 n=4 c=0.7,0.9,1.3 [3]	n=0 c=0.6,1.4 n=1 c=1.0,1.4 n=2 c=0.6,1.4 n=3 c=0.8,1.2 [4]	[3] Phys.Rev. D75 (2007) 032005 [4] Phys.Rev. D75 (2007) 032001
CDF	n=2 c=0.7 n=4 c=0.7 [5]		[5] Phys.Rev. D71 (2005) 051103
CLEO	n=2 c=1.0,1.5 n=4 c=1.0,1.5 [6]		[6] Phys.Rev. D70 (2004) 032002
DELPHI	n=2 c=0.0 n=4 c=0.0 n=6 c=0.0 [7]	n=1 c=0.0 n=2 c=0.0 n=3 c=0.0 [7]	[7] Eur.Phys.J. C45 (2006) 35-59

V_{cb} inclusive

 Moments of the lepton spectrum or invariant mass squared are ideal observables to extract the non perturbative parameters and |V_{cb}|

$$\langle E_{\ell}^n \rangle = \frac{1}{\Gamma_{E_{\ell}} > E_{\text{cut}}} \int_{E_{\ell} > E_{\text{cut}}} E_{\ell}^n \; \frac{d\Gamma}{dE_{\ell}} \; dE_{\ell} \; ,$$



HFLAV	Br(B -> X _c lnu) (%)	IV _{cb} I (10 ⁻³)	m _b ^{kin} (GeV)	mu ² _{pi} (GeV ²)
	10.65 +/- 0.16	42.19 +/- 0.78	4.554 +/- 0.018	0.464 +/- 0.076

MESON 2018

Exclusive |V_{cb}| and Form Factors

• $B \rightarrow D\ell v$ and $B \rightarrow D^*\ell v$ provide clean way to extract $|V_{cb}|$

Assuming $m_{\ell} = 0$

$$\rightarrow \mathsf{D}^{*} \ell \nu \qquad \frac{d\Gamma}{dw} = \frac{G_{F}^{2} m_{D^{*}}^{3}}{48\pi^{3}} (m_{B} - m_{D^{*}})^{2} \sqrt{w^{2} - 1} \chi(w \mathcal{F}^{2}(w) V_{cb})^{2}$$

$$\rightarrow \mathsf{D}\ell\nu \qquad \frac{d\Gamma}{dw} = \frac{G_F^2 m_D^3}{48\pi^3} (m_B + m_D)^2 (w^2 - 1)^{3/2} \mathcal{G}^2(w) V_{cb}|^2$$

$$w = \frac{m_B^2 + m_D^2 - q^2}{2m_B m_D}$$

w=1
$$\underbrace{ \sqrt{P} - \underbrace{D}^B \underbrace{\ell}_{V}}_{W_{max}}$$

Form Factor Parameterizations

• BGL Boyd, Grinstein, Lebed Phys.Rev.Lett 74, 4603 (1995)

$$f_i(z) = rac{1}{P_i(z)\phi_i(z)}\sum_{n=0}^N a_{i,n}z^n, \qquad z(w) = rac{\sqrt{w+1}-\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{w+1}+\sqrt{2}}$$

Coefficient $a_{i,n}$ free parameters The analyticity of the OPE assure bounds on the sum of the $a_{i,n}^2$

• CLN Caprini, Lellouch, Neubert Nucl.Phys.B530, 153 (1998)

$$(z) = \mathcal{G}(1)(1 - 8\rho^2 z + (51\rho^2 - 10)z^2 - (252\rho^2 - 84)z^3)$$

 $R \rightarrow D\ell v$

Higher order coefficient connected with the slope ρ^2

$$B \to D^* \ell \nu$$

$$h_{A_1}(w) = h_{A_1}(1) \left[1 - 8\rho^2 z + (53\rho^2 - 15)z^2 - (231\rho^2 - 91)z^3 \right],$$

$$R_1(w) = R_1(1) - 0.12(w - 1) + 0.05(w - 1)^2,$$

$$R_2(w) = R_2(1) + 0.11(w - 1) - 0.06(w - 1)^2.$$

MESON 2018

G

Β

B –

$B \rightarrow D \ell v$

- State of the art performed by BaBar and Belle with hadronic B tagging: improve kinematic resolution and reduce combinatorial backgrounds • $B \rightarrow D^0 \ell v$
- Use both $B\to D^0\ell\nu$ and $B\to D^+\ell\nu$
- Signal extract in 10 bins of w from M_{miss}²
- Largest background
 - $B \rightarrow D^* \ell v$

BaBar used 460M BB Fit ~3200 signal events Phys.Rev.Lett.104:011802(2010)



Belle used 771M BB Improved Hadronic B Tag based on NeuroBayes Fit ~17000 signal events Phys.Rev.D93:032006(2016)







G(1) V_{cb}: results at B-Factories



MESON 2018

$\mathsf{B}\to\mathsf{D}^*\ell\nu$

10000



Phys.Rev.D77:032002,2008

- Based on 79fb⁻¹
- Fitter 52.8 K signal events
 - $B^0 \rightarrow D^{*-} \ell \nu$ and $D^{*-} \rightarrow D^0 \pi^-$
 - 3 different D⁰ decay modes
 - Fitted the projections, accounting for the proper bin-bin correlations directly from data

CLN parameterization

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(1)|V_{cb}| &= (34.7 \pm 0.4 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-3} \\ \rho^2 &= 1.157 \pm 0.094 \pm 0.027 \\ R_1(1) &= 1.327 \pm 0.131 \pm 0.043 \\ R_2(1) &= 0.859 \pm 0.077 \pm 0.021 \end{aligned}$$

- Very good fit results: $P(\chi^2)=47\%$
- Consistent results from Belle

Phys.Rev.D82:112007,2010





MESON 2018

$B \rightarrow D^* \ell v$: HFLAV average



Unfortunately these old data cannot be Re-analysed with a different parameterization

- Most recent calculation is from Belle in 2010
- All based on CLN parameterization
- Two are based on a 4-dimensional fit
 - BaBar, Phys.Rev.D77:032002,2008
 - Belle Phys.Rev.D82:112007,2010

 $\eta_{\rm EW} \mathcal{F}(1) |V_{cb}| = (35.61 \pm 0.43) \times 10^{-3} ,$ $\rho^2 = 1.205 \pm 0.026 ,$ $R_1(1) = 1.404 \pm 0.032 ,$ $R_2(1) = 0.854 \pm 0.020 ,$

Only published unquenched calculation available is at zero-recoil from FANL/MILC

Bailey et al., Phys.Rev.D89,114504(2014)

$$|V_{cb}| = (38.71 \pm 0.47_{exp} \pm 0.59_{th}) \times 10^{-3}$$

 3σ from inclusive determination

MESON 2018

$B \rightarrow D^* \ell v$: news from Belle





- With the hadronic tag, similar to $B\to D\ell\nu$
- Signal extracted from the missing mass distribution by an unbinned maximum likelihood fit
- Yields extracted in 4x10 bins of w and 3 angular variables: statistical correlations determined with bootstrapping technique





Belle fit with CLN parameterization consistent with world average

Parameter	This result	World Average
$ V_{cb} \times 10^3$	37.4 ± 1.3	39.2 ± 0.7
$\rho_{D^*}^2$	1.03 ± 0.13	1.21 ± 0.03
$R_{1}(1)$	1.38 ± 0.07	1.40 ± 0.03
$R_{2}(1)$	0.87 ± 0.10	0.85 ± 0.02

MESON 2018

Model independent analysis

- Bigi, Gambino, Schacht Phys.Lett B 769 (2017) 441: Critical analysis of parameterization



CLN Fit:	Data + lattice	Data + lattice + LCSR
χ^2/dof	34.3/36	34.8/39
$ V_{cb} $	0.0382 (15)	0.0382 (14)
BGL Fit:	Data + lattice	Data + lattice + LCSR
χ^2/dof	27.9/32	31.4/35
$ V_{cb} $	$0.0417 \begin{pmatrix} +20 \\ -21 \end{pmatrix}$	$0.0404 \begin{pmatrix} +16 \\ -17 \end{pmatrix}$

- Similarly in Grinstein, Kobach PLB771 (2017) 359-364, who claimed
 - "strong possibility that the tension between inclusive and exclusive |V_{cb}| is due to the use of the CLN parameterization..."

The huge difference between BGL and CLN could just be a "feature" of the Belle unfolded dataset! We need more data: Bella and Babar data are still available! Belle-II started collecting data!

But some inconsistencies... from Bernlochner et al. PRD96 (2017) 091503 (strong breaking of HQS?)





Tagged $B \rightarrow \pi \ell \nu$

Phys.Rev.D83(2011) 071101



• Using the hadronic tag

L=711 fb⁻¹ N(B $\rightarrow \pi^2 \ell \nu$) ~ 500, N(B $\rightarrow \pi^0 \ell \nu$) ~ 200



- Reduce combinatorial backgrounds
- Improve kinematic resolution
 - Signal B direction determined by B_{tag}



MESON 2018

HFLAV average

- Includes the most precise measurements
- For massless leptons only one Form Factor

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathcal{B}(B \to \pi \ell \nu)}{\mathrm{d}q^2} = |V_{ub}|^2 \frac{G_F^2 \tau_B}{24\pi^3} p_\pi^3 |f_+^{B\pi}(q^2)|^2$$

Theoretical inputs:

- Lattice QCD at high q² from FLAG average of FNAL/MILC + HPQCD
 - Eur.Phys.J. C77 (2017) no.2, 112

- LCSR at q²=0

Bharucha, JHEP 1205 (2012) 092



|V_{ub}| from inclusive decays

q

 $\frac{\Gamma(b \to c\ell\nu)}{\Gamma(b \to u\ell\nu)} \approx 50$



- Cut limited region of phase space (f_{ii})
 - Non perturbative shape-function needed
 - Universal only at leading order in A/m_b



Fit results in limited regions of phase space



MESON 2018

M. Rotondo

Phys.Rev. D86 (2012) 032004

Status of inclusive |V_{ub}|

Most recent measurement is dated 2012

- Consistency between difference acceptance regions
- Calculations agree with each other

Framework	$ V_{ub} [10^{-3}]$
BLNP	$4.44 \pm 0.15^{+0.21}_{-0.22}$
DGE	$4.52 \pm 0.16 \substack{+0.15 \\ -0.16}$
GGOU	$4.52 \pm 0.15 \substack{+0.11 \\ -0.14}$
ADFR	$4.08 \pm 0.13 \substack{+0.18 \\ -0.12}$
BLL $(m_X/q^2 \text{ only})$	$4.62 \pm 0.20 \pm 0.29$

- Correlated uncertainties
 - HQE parameters m_b, m_µ²: from Global Fit for inclusive |V_{cb}|
 - Common experimental tools: EvtGen, JETSET X_u hadronisation, $b \rightarrow c \ell v$
- |V_{ub}| is calculated from partial rates measured with only one signal model

(Belle multivariate, adjust the signal model to match the GGOU predictions)

CLEO (E) $423 \pm 0.49 \pm 0.22 - 0.31$ BELLE sim. ann. (m, q²) $4.52 \pm 0.47 \pm 0.25 - 0.28$ BELLE (E) $4.95 \pm 0.46 \pm 0.16 - 0.21$ BABAR (E) $4.52 \pm 0.26 \pm 0.17 - 0.24$ BELLE multivariate (p*) $4.62 \pm 0.28 \pm 0.09 - 0.10$ BABAR (m, <1.55) $4.30 \pm 0.20 \pm 0.20 - 0.21$ BABAR (m, <1.7) $4.10 \pm 0.23 \pm 0.16 - 0.17$ **BABAR** $(m_{1}<1.7, q^{2}>8)$ $4.33 \pm 0.23 \pm 0.24 - 0.27$ BABAR (P⁺<0.66) $4.25 \pm 0.26 \pm 0.26 - 0.27$ BABAR (m_{v} , q^2 fit, $p^*>1$ GeV) $444 \pm 0.24 \pm 0.09 - 0.10$ BABAR (p*>1.3GeV) $4.43 \pm 0.27 \pm 0.09 - 0.11$ Average $+/- \exp + \text{theory} - \text{theory}$ $4.52 \pm 0.15 \pm 0.11 - 0.14$ χ^2 /dof = 9.2/10 (CL = 51.00 %) P. Gambino, P. Giordano, G. Ossola, N. Uraltsev JHEP 0710:058,2007 (GGOU)



MESON 2018

New inclusive |V_{ub}|

Inclusive electron spectrum measurement
• Data

Fit Strategy

- Fit simultaneously on-Y(4S) and off-Y(4S)
 - 5 separate $b \rightarrow c$ components
 - Secondary leptons $b \rightarrow c \rightarrow e$
 - b→X_u e v
- Spectrum range [p_{min}, 2.7] GeV, p_{min} from 0.8 GeV





Phys.Rev.D 95,

072001 (2017)

Signal model obtained mixing known existing exclusive final states with calculations for $b \rightarrow X_u e v$ (Hybrid model). Four different calculations considered for $b \rightarrow X_u e v$ Inclusive spectrum

t • Dataset: 467M Y(4S)

MESON 2018

Results on total rate and |V_{ub}|

- Highest sensitivity to $B \rightarrow X_u ev$ in the wide bin 2.1-2.7 GeV
- Models make different predictions for the fractional rate in this bin
 - The normalization of the B→X_uev is fixed by this bin!
- This dependence on the signal model could impact any measurement that extends in the $B \rightarrow X_u ev$ region





- Results are lower than previous measurement (not for BLNP!)
- How existing analyses would be affected by the signal model is difficult to predict without re-analysing old data!
- The effect could be smaller than the one observed here!

New global picture ?



Conclusions

- Recently, huge progresses from Lattice and others are on the way!
- Inclusive Exclusive puzzle cannot be considered solved!
- Unfortunately many published results are based on 10-years old analyses and cannot be easily re-analyzed... nevertheless interesting results from Belle and BaBar are expected in the coming months!
- Bright future from Belle-II, some inputs can come from LHCb





STOP



Conclusions

- Exclusive |V_{ub}|
 - huge progressed on lattice
 - LHCb is a new player: opened the route to $B_s \rightarrow K \ell \nu$ (cleanest on Lattice!)
- Inclusive |V_{ub}|
 - It is still a puzzle: internally consistent but above CKM fit and exclusive determination
 - Partial rates that include the b→c region depends on the signal model: crucial to consider this and use the same model for both signal extraction and |Vub|
 - Theory/parameters uncertainties dominate: need to constrain the SF (global fit |V_{cb}|-like from spectra measurements: SIMBA, NNVUB)
- Inclusive |V_{cb}|
 - Everything consistent and it gives inputs to |V_{ub}|/SF: it would be desirable an update of the 1S scheme framework
- Exclusive |V_{cb}|
 - General agreement to move to model independent FF parameterizations
 - New Lattice-FF calculation for B→D* (even a non-zero recoil) are on the way from MILC/FNAL and HPQCD

MESON 2018

Exclusive |V_{cb}| and Form Factors

 $\mathsf{B}\to\mathsf{D}^*\ell\nu$

Unquenched lattice FF calculation available only at zero-recoil

2+1+1 lattice calculation from HPQCD ArXiv:1711.11013, F(1)=0.881 ± 0.022

MILC/FNAL Phys.Rev.D89, 115404 (2014) F(1) = 0.906 ± 0.013

Quenched calculation extends to w=1.1 De Vitiis et al, Nucl. Phys.B807 (2009) 373

$B\to D\ell\nu$

LCSR at w_{max} Faller et al. Eur.Phys.J C60(2009) 603

Unquenched lattice FF calculation also at moderately large recoil MILC/FNAL Phys.Rev.D92, 034506 (2015) HPQCD Phys.Rev.D92, 054510 (2015)

Assuming $m_{\ell} = 0$ ct ∣V_{cb}∣ $w = \frac{m_B^2 + m_D^2 - q^2}{2m_B m_D}$ $|V_{cb}|^2$ w=1 ns Coefficient a_{i.n} free parameters The analyticity of the OPE assure bounds on the sum of the a_{in}² 8) $B \rightarrow D^* \ell v$ $h_{A_1}(1) [1 - 8\rho^2 z + (53\rho^2 - 15)z^2]$ $-\left(231
ho^2-91)z^3
ight]\,,$ $R_1(1) - 0.12(w-1) + 0.05(w-1)^2$ $R_2(1) + 0.11(w-1) - 0.06(w-1)^2$

MESON 2018

Hi

$\mathsf{B}\to\mathsf{D}^*\ell\nu$

Phys.Rev.D82:112007,2010



- Considered neutral B decays, both el. and muons
 - $B^0 \rightarrow D^{*-} \ell \nu$ and $D^{*-} \rightarrow D^0 \pi^-$ only $D^0 \rightarrow K \pi$
- Signal extracted from cosBY and DeltaM
 - 120K events with 711 fb⁻¹
- Fit to projections in w, and decay angles





$B \rightarrow D^* \ell v$: news from Belle





• Published for the first time (HEPdata) the unfolded projections 4-D projections and the full correlation matrix: this triggered many interesting discussions on the fit parameterizations

Bernlochner et al. Phys.Rev.D95 (2017) 115008 Bigi at al. Phys.Lett B769 (2017) 441 Grinstein et al Phys.Lett. B771 (2017) 359 Bigi et al. JHEP 1711 (2017) 061

Jaiswal et al JHEP 1712 (2017) 060 Bernlochner et al Phys.Rev.D96 (2017) 091503 Harrison et al arxiv.1711.11013

MESON 2018

V_{ub} at LHCb

- B-baryons provide complementary informations to B-mesons
- Copious production of $\Lambda_{\rm b}$



- Kinematic constraints allow the determination of the p_{Ab} (modulo 2-fold ambiguity)
- Large background from $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda_c \mu \nu$

MESON 2018

• LHCb determines (in the high q² region) the ratio $R_{exp} = \frac{\mathcal{B}(\Lambda_b \to p\mu\nu)}{\mathcal{B}(\Lambda_b \to \Lambda_c \mu\nu)} \quad \text{Signal}$



Precise F.F.calculation on L-QCD



$\Lambda_b \rightarrow p\mu v \text{ signal \& } |V_{ub}|$



MESON 2018

New inclusive |V_{ub}|

Inclusive electron spectrum measurement • Data

Dataset: 467M Y(4S)

Phys.Rev.D 95,

072001 (2017)

Fit Strategy

- Fit simultaneously on-Y(4S) and off-Y(4S)
 - 5 separate $b \rightarrow c$ components
 - Secondary leptons $b \rightarrow c \rightarrow e$
 - b→X_u e v
- Spectrum range [p_{min}, 2.7] GeV, p_{min} from 0.8 GeV





Signal model obtained mixing known existing exclusive final states with calculations for $b \rightarrow X_u e v$ (Hybrid model). Four different calculations considered for $b \rightarrow X_u e v$ Inclusive spectrum



MESON 2018

The gap problem

charm state X_c	$\mathcal{B}(B \to X_c \ell \bar{\nu})$ [%]
D	2.29 ± 0.09
D*	5.43 ± 0.17
$\sum D^{(*)}$	7.71 ± 0.19
$D_0^* o D\pi$	0.41 ± 0.08
$D_1^* o D^* \pi$	0.45 ± 0.09
$D_1 o D^*\pi$	0.43 ± 0.03
$D_2^* ightarrow D^{(*)} \pi$	0.41 ± 0.03
$\sum D^{**} o D^{(*)} \pi$	1.70 ± 0.12
$D_s^{(*)-}K^+$	0.06 ± 0.01
$D\pi$	0.66 ± 0.08
$D^*\pi$	0.87 ± 0.10
$\sum D^{(*)}\pi$	1.53 ± 0.13
$\sum D^{(*)} + \sum D^{**} \rightarrow D^{(*)}\pi + D_s^{(*)-}K^+$	9.47 ± 0.22
$\sum D^{(*)} + \sum D^{(*)} \pi + D^{(*)-}_s K^+$	9.30 ± 0.23
inclusive X _c	10.98 ± 0.14

Inclusive – Σexclusive = (1.51 ± 0.26) %

From T.Lueck @EPS2015

MESON 2018

Status of the "gap"



• gap reduced from $\approx 7\sigma$ to $\approx 3\sigma$

extrapolation to full ${\cal B}$ assumed $\Gamma(D^{(*)}\pi^+\pi^-\ell\nu)/\Gamma(D^{(*)}\pi\pi\ell\nu) = 0.50\pm 0.17$

From T.Lueck @EPS2015

MESON 2018